

CLERK'S COPY

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1942

No. 85

**J. BUCKNER FISHER, RECEIVER OF THE FIRST
NATIONAL BANK OF CHATTANOOGA, TENNES-
SEE, PETITIONER,**

vs.

**LOUISE WHITON, EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE
OF ANNIE R. NOTTINGHAM, DECEASED, ET AL.**

**ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE
OF TENNESSEE**

PETITION FOR CERTIORARI FILED APRIL 29, 1942.

CERTIORARI GRANTED JUNE 8, 1942.



SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1941

No.

J. BUCKNER FISHER, RECEIVER OF THE FIRST
NATIONAL BANK OF CHATTANOOGA, TENNES-
SEE, PETITIONER,

vs.

LOUISE WHITON, EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE
OF ANNIE R. NOTTINGHAM, DECEASED, ET AL.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF APPEALS
OF THE STATE OF TENNESSEE

INDEX.

	Original	Print
Record from Chancery Court of Hamilton County	1	1
Caption of term (omitted in printing) ..	1	
Prosecution bond (omitted in printing) ..	1	
Original bill	1	1
Answer and cross-bill of Chas. S. Coffey, receiver.....	6	6
Answer and cross-bill of Geo. C. McKenzie, receiver....	12	11
Answer and cross-bill of Paul J. Kent, receiver (omitted in printing)	23	
Answer to cross-bill of Chas. S. Coffey, receiver.....	28	21
Exhibit "A" to answer—Statement of executrix....	33	26
Answer to cross bill of Paul J. Kent, receiver (omitted in printing)	64	
Decree sustaining cross-bill of Geo. C. McKenzie, etc....	70	50
Order of County Court of Hamilton County, probating will of C. C. Nottingham, deceased.....	70	51
Demurrer to claim of Paul J. Kent, receiver (omitted in printing)	75	

JUD & DETWEILER (INC.), PRINTERS, WASHINGTON, D. C., APRIL 8, 1942:

Record from Chancery Court of Hamilton County—Continued

	Original	Print
Demurrer to claim of Chas. S. Coffey, receiver	76	55
Memo. of chancellor on demurrer to cross-bill of Chas. S. Coffey	77	56
Answer of Geo. C. McKenzie to petition and cross-bill of Chas. S. Coffey, receiver	80	59
Answer of Geo. C. McKenzie to petition and cross-bill of Paul J. Kent, receiver.....(omitted in printing) ..	82	
Order overruling demurrer of O. B. Wunschow.....	85	60
Answer O. B. Wunschow to answer and cross-bill of Paul J. Kent, receiver (omitted in printing).....	85	
Order amending answer and cross-bill of Geo. C. McKenzie (omitted in printing)	88	
Order of reference	95	61
Motion of O. B. Wunschow, executor, to remand cause to rules and allow answer.....	96	62
Order on plea of statute of limitations	97	63
Report of clerk and master, September 7, 1930.....	98	63
Decree on request of master....(omitted in printing) ..	98	
Order appointing administrator ad litem for estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased	99	64
Deposition of Mrs. Elizabeth Dilley (omitted in printing)	100	
Deposition of C. A. Noone.....(omitted in printing) ..	109	
Order reviving cause against J. Buckner Fisher, receiver	111	65
Order admitting death of Annie B. Nottingham, and reviving cause against Louise Whiton, executrix	111	65
Decree modifying order of reference.....	112	66
Order appointing special master (omitted in printing) ..	114	
Report of R. B. Cooke, special master	114	68
Motion to confirm report of special master (omitted in printing)	121	
Order on exceptions to report of special master.....	121	73
Order setting aside decree confirming report of special master insofar as J. Buckner Fisher, receiver, is concerned	122	74
Exceptions of Paul J. Kent, receiver, to report of special master (omitted in printing)	122	
Exceptions of J. Buckner Fisher, receiver, to report of special master	124	75
Exceptions of George C. McKenzie to report of special master (omitted in printing)	125	
Stipulation as to certain facts..(omitted in printing) ..	126	
Stipulation as to certain facts.....	127	76
Memo. of chancellor on exceptions to master's report...	128	77
Petition of George C. McKenzie for rehearing	134	82
Order continuing hearing on petition to rehear (omitted in printing)	134	
Decree confirming report of special master and allowing petition to rehear to be withdrawn and re-filed.....	135	82

INDEX

iii

Record from Chancery Court of Hamilton County—Continued

Original Print

Order staying all further proceedings until petition to rehear is heard (omitted in printing).....	137	
Memo. of chancellor on petition to rehear.....	137	84
Decree on petition to rehear; appeal prayed and granted J. Buckner Fisher, receiver,.....	141	88
Appeal bond of J. Buckner Fisher, receiver (omitted in printing)	143	
Designation of J. Buckner Fisher, receiver, for transcript (omitted in printing)	144	
Designation of Geo. C. McKenzie, receiver, for transcript (omitted in printing)	145	
Order amending decree of December 5, 1940 (omitted in printing)	145	
Stipulation for transcript on writ of error (omitted in printing)	146	
Stipulation as to bond	(omitted in printing)	150
Bond for costs	(omitted in printing)	151
Rule docket	(omitted in printing)	153
Execution docket	(omitted in printing)	162
Certificate of clerk	(omitted in printing)	167
Proceedings in Court of Appeals of Tennessee.....	168	89
Assignments of error	168	90
Opinion, McAmis, J.	173	90
Decree	180	95
Assignments of error in Supreme Court of Tennessee	181	96
Order of Supreme Court of Tennessee denying petitions for writs of certiorari	187	97
Orders staying proceedings and enforcement of decree.....	188	97
Order amending decree.....	190	98
Clerk's certificate	(omitted in printing)	191
Order extending time within which to file petition for certiorari	192	99
Stipulation as to printed record	193	99
Order extending time within which to file petition for certiorari	195	102
Stipulation and addition to record.....		103
Order allowing certiorari.....		103

[fol. 1]

IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

No. 26284

ANNIE R. NOTTINGHAM, Executrix

vs.

PAUL J. KENT, Receiver, et al.

ORIGINAL BILL—Filed July 24, 1935

To the Honorable J. Lon Foust, Chancellor, Holding the Chancery Court of Hamilton County at Chattanooga, Tennessee:

ANNIE R. NOTTINGHAM, as Executrix of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, Deceased, and Individually, a resident of Hamilton County, Tennessee, Complainant,

vs.

PAUL J. KENT, as Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank, which was a National Banking Corporation, with Principal Banking Rooms at Chattanooga, Tennessee, but the Affairs of which are now being Administered by said Receiver; Chas. S. Coffey, as Receiver of the First National Bank, which was a National Banking Corporation, with Principal Banking Rooms at Chattanooga, Tennessee, but the affairs of which are now being Administered by said Receiver; O. B. Wunschow, as Executor of the Estate of Mildred Williams, Deceased; the Above Parties being Residents of Hamilton County, Tennessee; and George C. McKenzie, as Special Receiver, and Commissioner for R. A. Lowery, J. A. Lowery and Katherine Tullock, Children of Clara Lowery, a Resident of Meigs County, Tennessee, Defendants

Complainant respectfully shows unto the Court:

I

That the statements made in the caption as to the residence of the parties are true;

II

That C. C. Nottingham died April 6, 1929, while a resident of Hamilton County, Tennessee, leaving a will in accord-

ance with the terms of which complainant was appointed, thereafter qualified and has since been acting as executrix. Complainant brings this action in her representative capacity and for the benefit of all creditors of the estate of the said C. C. Nottingham, decedent; and on behalf of herself individually as a creditor of said estate. The said C. C. Nottingham left surviving him only his widow, Mrs. Annie R. Nottingham, who was the sole beneficiary under his will.

III

That the complainant, Mrs. Annie R. Nottingham, in her individual capacity, is a creditor of this estate by reason of the sums of money advanced for and on behalf of the estate since May 1, 1929, in the total sum of Seventy Six Thousand Three Hundred Seventy (\$76,370.00) Dollars, less credits applying to said indebtedness in the amount of Forty Three Thousand Five Hundred Thirty-two and 70/100 (\$43,532.70) Dollars, leaving a balance still due her in amount of Thirty Two Thousand Eight Hundred Thirty Seven and 30/100 Dollars (\$32,837.30); said sums advanced and credits thereon are as follows:

Sums Advanced by Mrs. Nottingham		Credits Applied by Mrs. Nottingham	
May 1, 1929	\$25,000.00	February 17, 1931	\$500.00
May 29, 1929	15,000.00	March 12, 1931	• 700.00
[fol. 3]			
June 11, 1929	6,500.00	June 10, 1931	500.00
June 14, 1929	20,000.00	Dec. 1, 1931	2,000.00
Dec. 16, 1929	200.00	March 11, 1932	100.00
Dec. 19, 1929	50.00	April 1, 1932	3,313.60
April 14, 1930	8,000.00	July 7, 1932	4,000.00
May 1, 1930	1,620.00	October 7, 1932	2,000.00
		—, —, 1933	2,688.63
Total Advances			
	\$76,370.00		

Credits Applied by Mrs. Nottingham

March, 1933	\$24.75
April, 1933	1.25
April, 1933	2.12
April, 1933	93.75
	102.98
June, 1933	5.00
June, 1933	15.00
July 6, 1933	1.83
July 28, 1933	9,210.00
August, 1933	10,947.00
August, 1933	686.88
Sept. 14, 1933	1,100.00
Sept. 16, 1933	4,711.16
1934	5.00
Oct. 10, 1934	100.00
Dec. 6, 1934	30.00

Total credits \$43,532.70

Carried forward \$76,370.00

Less credits 43,532.70 \$32,837.30

Balance due complainant individually \$32,837.30

Complainant avers that said amount, with interest thereon, is a prior claim against this estate and should be so treated upon any distribution.

[fol. 4]

IV

Complainant avers that the said C. C. Nottingham died seized and possessed only of real property in amount, character and description as follows:

Lot 5, Block 4, and Lots 12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 20, 21 and 22 Mabbitt Springs, on Walden's Ridge, in Hamilton County, Tennessee;

also

Lots 11, 12, 13 and 14, Block 100, Jupiter Island, in Martin County, Florida.

Complainant is not able to state value of said property in Hamilton County, but the Florida property is assessed

for taxation at \$1060.00, and is thought to be worth about \$5,000.00.

Dower and homestead in said property have not yet been set aside to the widow, but same are claimed.

V

The personal estate of said decedent available for payment on his debts amounted to Three Hundred Eighty One Thousand, Three Hundred Forty Nine and Sixty-nine/100 (\$381,349.69) Dollars, all of which has been exhausted in discharging the indebtedness of said decedent, and settlement therefor has been made with the County Court of Hamilton County, and such settlement duly approved.

Complainant further avers that there still remain debts unpaid which can only be satisfied by a sale of the real estate. The nature and amount of debts remaining unpaid and names of the creditors are as follows:

Name	Amount
George C. McKenzie, as special receiver and commissioner for parties aforesaid.	\$17,851.37 and costs
(This indebtedness arose by reason of C. C. Nottingham having signed a bond for A. P. Haggard as administrator.	
Chas. S. Coffey,	Receiver, as aforesaid 178,000.00
(This amount of assessment claimed by said Receiver upon bank stock belonging to deceased's estate.)	
[fol. 5]	
Paul J. Kent,	Receiver, as aforesaid \$17,800.00
(This is amount of assessment claimed by said Receiver upon bank stock belonging to deceased's estate, being 1780 shares at \$10.00 each. Complainant denies the validity of this claim.)	

Name	Executor, as aforesaid	Amount
O. B. Wunschow,	Executor, as aforesaid	17,000.00 and costs
Annie R. Nottingham,	(The validity of this claim is denied, and is at present being litigated, it being an account of the alleged conversion of some Liberty Bonds. The case has been remanded by Supreme Court to Circuit Court of Hamilton County.) individually (This is balance due on money loaned to the estate to pay pressing matters and stop accumulation of interest as per statement above.)	32,837.30
Total		<hr/> \$263,488.67

VII

Premises considered, complainant prays:

1. That process issue as to the resident defendants and that counterpart process issue to Meigs County, Tennessee, and be served on George C. McKenzie, Special Receiver and Commissioner, and that the defendants be required to answer this bill, but their oaths to, their answers are hereby expressly waived.

2. That all persons having bona fide debts against said estate be required to present them in this cause and that they be permitted to become parties hereto for that purpose.

3. That an accounting be taken of the administration and of the bona fide debts outstanding, including those herein mentioned as well as such as may, in the progress of this cause, be shown to exist; that upon ascertaining the exhaustion of the personal assets in payment of debts, and the just debts remaining unpaid, said lands be sold under the

decrees of this court for the payment of debts, costs, counsel fees and other legitimate expenses. .

4. That complainant have decree for her debt together with interest thereon.

[fol. 6] 5. That such general relief be granted as to the court may appear necessary or proper.

Annie R. Nottingham, Complainant.

Charles A. Noone, Solicitor for Complainant.

Duty sworn to by Annie R. Nottingham. Jurat omitted in printing.

IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

ANSWER AND CROSS BILL OF CHAS. S. COFFEY, RECEIVER—
Filed August 2, 1935

Comes defendant, Chas. S. Coffey, Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, and for answer to the bill filed against him, and others, in the above styled cause says:

I

It is admitted that C. C. Nottingham died testate in Hamilton County, Tennessee on April 6, 1929, and that complainant, Annie R. Nottingham, was appointed Executrix of his will, and qualified as such upon the probate of said will in the county court of Hamilton County, Tennessee, on April 20, 1929.

It is denied that the amounts of money alleged in paragraph three (3) of the bill to have been advanced by the complainant individually to the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, was in facts such an advancement. If it be true that complainant in her individual capacity paid any debts of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, such payments were not advances to the estate, and did not create new debts of the estate to her as of the date of payment, but at most she only became surrogated to the rights of the creditors to whom she made payments as assignee in equity of their claims;

It is also denied that the monies which complainant applied to her own use to the amount of \$43,532.70 as shown

in her bill were repayments to her of advances. Such amounts are charges against her of funds of the estate of which she received upor settlement of her accounts as Executrix;

It is also denied that complainant as Executrix of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, has made settlement with the county court of Hamilton County, Tennessee and that said court has approved such settlement. The facts are that complainant as Executrix, filed inventory of the assets of the estate, but has never made a settlement with clerk of said court as respondent is informed, believes and avers;

It may be, as charged in the bill, that the personal estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, available for the payment of debts amounted to \$381,349.69, but respondent demands full accounting as to all of the assets of the estate, which came or ought to have come to the hands of complainant as Executrix, and as to the disposition thereof;

It is also denied that Geo. C. McKenzie, O. B. Wunschow, and Annie R. Nottingham, or either of them are creditors of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased. If either of the said parties were at any time creditors of the said estate, their claims have long since become barred by the statute of limitation of two (2) years.

Complainant qualified as executrix of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, on April 20, 1929. All claims against that estate on which suits were not brought, became barred [fol. 8] within two (2) years and six months from that date, to-wit, on October 20, 1931.

II

Respondent would now show at the time of C. C. Nottingham death on April 6, 1929, he was the owner of seventeen hundred and eighty (1790) shares of the capital stock of First National Bank of Chattanooga, of the par value of \$100. each. However, shortly after her qualification as executrix, complainant made sales of stock of said estate as follows:

On July 5, 1929, complainant sold to H. R. Rutland, one hundred (100) shares; on July 16, 1929, complainant sold to C. A. Noone, seventy-five (75) shares; on July 17, 1929, complainant sold to Z. C. Patten, W. E. Brock and J. P. Hoskins, one hundred (100) shares; on July 19, 1929, com-

plainant sold to Z. C. Patten, W. E. Brock and J. P. Hoskins one hundred twenty-five (125) shares, making a total of four hundred shares (400) of the par value of forty thousand (40,000) sold by complainant to the said parties on respective dates.

Respondent is informed, believes and charges that complainant received three hundred (300*) per share, or a total of one hundred and twenty thousand (120,000) for said stock of the estate so sold by her.

These sales left thirteen hundred and eighty (1380) shares of the par value of one hundred (100) each still belonging to said estate, which has never been transferred and stands yet on the books of the bank in the name of C. C. Nottingham, deceased.

In the year 1930, by due corporate action on authority of the Comptroller of the Currency of the United States, the par value of the shares of stock of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, was reduced from \$100 to \$20 each, which had the effect of increasing the number of shares standing in the name of each stockholder five fold. As a result of this increase in the number of shares, there now stands on the books of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, 6900 shares of the par value of \$20. each in the name of C. C. Nottingham, deceased. However, complainant as executrix of said estate has never surrendered the original [fol. 9] certificates of stock of \$100 par value, consequently she still holds 1380 shares of the capital stock of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, at par value of \$100 each issued previously to the change in par value.

III

Respondent would now show that on January 3, 1934, the Comptroller of the Currency of the United States, because of its insolvency, appointed this Respondent as Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, who accepted the trust and has since been and still is acting as Receiver of said Bank under the authority and direction of the Comptroller of Currency of the United States.

On April 19, 1934, the Comptroller of the Currency levied an assessment against the stockholders of the Bank for 100% of the par value of each and every share, payable at the office of the Receiver on or before May 26, 1934.

On May 17, 1934, by instructions from the Comptroller of the Currency, the time for payment of said assessment was extended, subject to further order, and on June 19, 1934, the time of payment was further extended by order of the Comptroller of the Currency until June 26, 1934. On June 22, 1934, the time of payment was again extended by the Comptroller of the Currency, subject to further order.

The Comptroller of the Currency, by order, on March 11, 1935, further extended payment on said assessment to April 15, 1935, to bear interest at 6% from that date, being the legal rate of interest in the State of Tennessee.

Under date of March 13, 1935, Plaintiff gave notice of said assessment to each and every stockholder of the bank, including complainant executrix, with copy of the orders of the Comptroller of the Currency, levying said assessment.

Respondent charges that by reason the said action of the Comptroller of the Currency levying assessment, the complainant, Annie R. Nottingham, executrix of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, is justly indebted to him, as Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, in [fol. 10] the sum of \$138,000. with interest thereon from April 15, 1935.

Respondent charges that said claim is an equitable lien, on all of the assets of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, which came or ought to have come to the hands of the said executrix, and that he as Receiver of the said Bank, is entitled to have said equitable enforced against all the assets of said estate.

Respondent further charges, that he is entitled to have an accounting made by the said executrix of all assets, which come or ought to have come into her hands as executrix, and of the disposition made thereof, and to have recovery against said executrix for the value of all the assets of said estate, wasted or misapplied by her, including judgment for Respondent's share in the proceeds of the assets of said estate as creditor.

IV

Respondent would further show that by the provisions of the will of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, all of his property and estate, including all of his stock of the First National Bank of Chattanooga; vested in his widow, complainant Annie R. Nottingham, and that she became from

the time of probate of said will, ever since has been, and still is, the owner of the shares of stock standing in the name of said C. C. Nottingham, and as such owner is personally liable for the amount of assessment upon said shares of stock to-wit: \$138,000.00 with interest from April 15, 1935.

V

Respondent would further show that the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, was of the value of more than \$1,000.00 and that the estate is insolvent, and that the insolvency of said estate was suggested by the executrix of the will in the county court and the administration of said estate is still pending undisposed of.

Respondent is advised and charges, that this is a proper case for the removal of the administration of said estate from the County Court of Hamilton County, Tennessee into this court, and to have this cross bill sustained in this [fol. 11] court as a bill for the administration of said estate as insolvent.

In this connection, respondent is advised and charges that there are no existing valid claims against said estate and that complainant has no right to maintain her original bill in this court as a bill to sell land to pay debts, since there are no debts to be paid. The original bill should be dismissed, and the cross bill sustained as a bill to administer the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, as an insolvent estate.

VI

And, now having answered, and being advised that upon the facts hereinbefore stated, respondent is entitled to relief, this answer is filed as a cross bill, and it is prayed that complainant, Annie B. Nottingham, both in her capacity as executrix and individually be required to answer this cross bill but not on oath, the oath to her answer being waived. The said Annie R. Nottingham, Executrix and individually, being before the Court, that answer be required to be made without the cost of additional process.

Let the administration of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, be removed from the county court of Hamilton County, Tennessee, into this court, and let this cross bill be sustained as a bill to administer said estate as an insolvent estate in this court.

Let publication be made, and injunction issue requiring all persons interested in said estate to propound their claims in this cause.

Let a reference to the Master be had to ascertain what assets of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, came or ought to have come, to the hands of complainant as executrix of his will, and the value thereof, and let her be charged therewith.

Let said executrix be required to show what disposition was made of said assets, and the proceeds thereof, filing vouchers, and let the legality of all disbursements made by the said executrix be determined.

Let said executrix be charged with all assets which came to her hands credited by the amount of legal disbursements [fol. 12] made therefrom.

Grant all such other further and general relief a cross complainant may be entitled. This is the first application for an injunction in this cause.

Chas. C. Moore, Solicitor for Cross Complt.

Duly sworn to by Chas. S. Coffey. Jurat omitted in printing.

IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

ANSWER AND CROSS BILL OF GEORGE C. MCKENZIE, RECEIVER—
Filed August 13, 1935

Comes George C. McKenzie, as Special Receiver and Commissioner for R. A. Lowery, J. A. Lowery and Kathleen Tullock, children of Clara Lowery, and for answer to the bill filed against him and others in the above styled cause, says:

I

That the matters stated in the first paragraph of the bill are not denied.

II

It is true that C. C. Nottingham died April 6, 1929, while a resident of Hamilton County, Tennessee, leaving a will, in accordance with the terms of which the complainant was appointed and thereafter qualified and has since been acting as executrix of his estate.

[fol. 13] It is denied, however, that complainant is filing the bill in this cause for the benefit of all creditors of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, but it is averred, on information and belief, that the primary purpose of said bill is on behalf of Annie R. Nottingham individually and as an alleged credit or of said estate, and that the purpose of said bill is to cover up the various transactions on the part of the executrix, the complainant herein, and to procure for herself, as an individual, all that part of said estate which has not yet been disposed of, and for the further purpose of covering up complainant's transactions in the handling of said estate and in paying off creditors, who should not have been paid in full.

It is true that the said C. C. Nottingham left surviving him his said widow, Mrs. Annie R. Nottingham, who was designated as the sole beneficiary under his will.

III

It is denied that Mrs. Annie R. Nottingham, the complainant in her individual capacity is a creditor or should be a creditor of this estate by reason of the alleged sums of money claimed by her to have been expended on behalf of the estate since May, 1929, and it is denied that she has paid out of her own funds the sum of \$76,370.00, or any other sum that should be charged against the estate of the said C. C. Nottingham. It is also denied that there is any sum which is or should be due the said Mrs. Annie R. Nottingham in her individual capacity. As a matter of fact, the said Mrs. Annie R. Nottingham, as executrix of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, has not filed any account in the County Court Clerk's office, and has not received any approval or legal credit for the amounts claimed to have been paid or advanced by her, either individually or as executrix of the estate. Any amounts which the said Annie R. Nottingham claims to have been paid by her on account of the administration of said estate, or individually, are required to be strictly proved.

It is denied that any amounts which might have been paid out by the said complainant individually are a prior claim against this estate, or should be treated as such upon [fol. 14], any distribution.

IV

It is true that C. C. Nottingham died seized and possessed of the property described in paragraph four of the bill,

but this defendant is without knowledge as to whether or not there is any other property or real estate either in Florida, Tennessee or elsewhere, but this defendant admits that if there is any real estate other than as set forth in the bill, and also that real estate which is set forth in paragraph four of the bill, it should be sold and the amount received distributed for the benefit of creditors.

It is denied that the complainant is entitled to dower or homestead, or both, in said property, and it is denied that she is entitled to have any dower or homestead set aside for her, notwithstanding her claim for same.

V

Not only is it true that the personal assets of the deceased C. C. Nottingham available for the payment of his debts amounted to \$381,349.69, but it is averred that said estate, if properly administered, would have amounted to a sum of money greatly in excess of said amount, and it is denied that all of the property, as alleged, has been exhausted in discharging the indebtedness of said estate, and it is denied that settlement therefor has been made with the County Court of Hamilton County and such settlement approved.

It is true that debts still remain unpaid, and while it may be necessary to sell real estate, yet, as will hereinafter be shown in this cross-bill, the complainant Annie R. Nottingham, individually, should be charged with a sufficient sum of money to pay off the unpaid debts mentioned in the bill.

It is true that the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, is indebted to George C. McKenzie, this defendant, as Special Receiver and Commissioner for the parties mentioned in the caption of the bill, in the sum of \$17,851.37, evidenced by judgment in the Supreme Court of Tennessee [fol. 15] in the case of Clara Lowery et al. versus A. P. Haggard et al., and it is true that the estate is indebted to Charles S. Coffey, as Receiver, in a sum of money, but this defendant cannot admit or deny that the sum averred in the bill is the correct amount, as this defendant is without sufficient information. Such sums as may be due Paul J. Kent, Receiver, this defendant is not advised, and can neither admit nor deny the averment in the bill.

It is true that there is a suit pending in the Circuit Court of Hamilton County, Tennessee, styled "O. B. Wunschow

Executor of the Estate of Mildred Williams, deceased" against the complainant, as executrix of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, and that the validity of the claim has been denied and is at present being litigated, and that the sum alleged amounts to \$17,000.00 with interest and costs.

It is denied that the estate of C. C. Nottingham is indebted to Annie R. Nottingham, individually, in the sum of \$32,837.30, or in any other sum, but on the contrary, it is averred that she is considerably indebted to the estate of C. C. Nottingham by reason of the matters set forth in this cross bill.

This defendant further says that the said Annie R. Nottingham is not entitled to a credit upon any part of the alleged \$76,370.00 advanced by her, but, on the contrary, she is indebted to the estate by reason of the personal or individual appropriation of funds of the estate amounting to \$43,532.70, claimed in her answer. In the first place, the alleged claim of \$76,370.00, which the complainant, Annie R. Nottingham, individually, claims against the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, and the several amounts claimed are and were at the time of filing the bill in this cause, barred by the statute of limitations of six years. Said statute is hereby especially pleaded in bar of any right of action, recovery, credit, or claim for said sum or any part thereof.

In the second place, said alleged claim of \$76,370.00 is barred by the statute of limitations of two years and six months because the complainant, as an individual and a Tennessee creditor or claimant, did not, within said period [fol. 16] of time, after her qualification as executrix of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, file any suit in order to recover the claim, neither has she filed any claim for said amount, or any part thereof, in the County Court of Hamilton County, Tennessee, neither has she filed a claim for an allowance of said amount, or any part thereof, in any reports required by law to be filed by her in said County Court, or taken any other action of any character whatsoever, in order to comply with the law with respect to legally proving her claim within said period of two years and six months from the date of her said qualification as such executrix, and neither did she take any action to prove her claim, or legally assert the same, so as to come without said bar of the statute

of two years and six months, within said period of time from the date of the alleged advancements. Said statute of limitations of two and one-half years is hereby especially plead in bar of any recovery of said amount of \$76,370.00 or any part of the same.

Complainant shows in her bill that she made payments and paid off claims of creditors within six months following her qualification as executrix, and without waiting for said period of time to expire so as to determine whether or not the estate was solvent, and that in so doing, made said payments at her peril. That she used no precaution to determine the condition of the estate in so doing, and that she is, therefore, liable to the unpaid creditors for their respective pro rata of the claims so paid, in relation as they bear in amounts to the creditors' claims which have not been paid. Defendant further avers that the complainant knew at all times within the administration of the existence of his claim, suit having been filed by him prior to the death of C. C. Nottingham and revived against the complainant, executrix, and she continued to pay off creditors' claims in full, without regard to his said debt, and also without regard to the other bona fide claims now existing and owing by said estate. That she paid off claims in full to various creditors after said six months, disregarding the present existing claims of which she well knew, and is also liable [fol. 17] for an amount pro rata with the claims she paid off in full. Since she has exhausted said estate she is individually liable therefor.

This defendant further says that the complainant, Annie R. Nottingham, individually, or as executrix, has no right by way of retainer, to retain said amounts alleged in her said bill to have been credited by her on her said alleged claim, by reason of the fact that said complainant did not, in any manner required by law, file suit for said sum of money within two and one-half years from the date of qualification as such executrix, or within two and one-half years from the alleged advancement of said monies, or make a report of said retainer, or otherwise legally claim the same within said period of time, and has not procured the consent of the County Court, or any other Court, or any other authority, to retain said sum of money that has been appropriated by her from the funds received from the administration of the estate, as set forth in complainant's bill and amounting to \$43,532.70. Said statute of limitations

as against a retainer at this time, or at any time, is hereby especially plead in bar of any recovery or credit on the part of the complainant for said sums claimed to have been retained by her, and said sum the complainant is liable for to the existing creditors.

This defendant avers that the acts on the part of the complainant in undertaking to retain said sums of money, as set out in paragraph three of her bill, is illegal, contrary to law, barred by the statute of limitations, and complainant is precluded from now undertaking to set up said sums retained by her as a credit against the alleged advancements made by her.

VI

And now, assuming the attitude of a cross-complainant, and suing as such cross-complainant upon behalf of George C. McKenzie, as Special Receiver and Commissioner for R. A. Lowery, J. A. Lowery and Kathleen Tullock, children of Clara Lowery, this cross-complainant does hereby in all things adopt the statements and averments made in his answer heretofore and further avers:

That upon the death of C. C. Nottingham, Annie R. Nottingham promptly qualified as executrix in the County [fol. 18] Court of Hamilton County, Tennessee, and that she immediately took possession of his personal estate, which at that time was of value considerably in excess of \$500,000.00, the same consisting principally of cash, stocks and bonds, as well as other personal property, the exact nature and description of which is unknown to this cross-complainant, and Annie R. Nottingham, as executrix, has not to this date fully reported and accounted for said personal property. That without making a true inventory of the property, and the value thereof, she set about toward paying claims off in full, without regard or consideration as to whether or not the estate might eventually prove insolvent, and within the time provided by law for her determination as to whether or not the estate was solvent or insolvent. That upon entering into the administration of this estate, the personal estate left by C. C. Nottingham was very valuable, in that the stocks and bonds at that time were worth sums of money greatly in excess of what the said administratrix finally sold them for. That indifferent to, and without regard to the rights of creditors, and through incompetency on the part

of said executrix, and by reason of her negligence in administration, she delayed disposing of said securities and other personal property until later in the year 1929, when the market value of same began to lag and decline, and she continued to hold said securities and other personal property, and notwithstanding the decline in the market, and notwithstanding the values which she could realize on the same, regarding the same as her property, and co-mingling said estate with her own property, and her own property with the property of the estate; until finally, by reason of said incompetency and neglect, and disregard for the rights of the creditors, she finally disposed of said securities at a distinct sacrifice and loss. She even held a considerable amount of stock in the First National Bank, which could have been disposed of by her at a fair market price until said stock became worthless, but being the owner thereof, she caused said estate to be taxed by the Receiver of the First National Bank for an amount over \$200,000.00. That [fol. 19] said incompetency and neglect were the cause of this estate finally shrinking to where the executrix was only able to realize the sum of \$381,349.69, as alleged in her bill. That the said executrix, if she had used due diligence and a reasonable amount of intelligence and discretion, would have and could have been able to realize about \$500,000.00 from said personal property.

By reason of such conduct on her part she has so wasted said estate that it finally became insolvent, and notwithstanding said insolvency the complainant caused creditors to be paid in full in the aggregate of approximately \$400,000.00, a part of which she now claims was money advanced out of her personal estate.

VII

This cross-complainant further avers that the executrix, knowing the large aggregate of claims owing by the estate of C. C. Nottingham, unskillfully and incompetently treated this estate as her own and ignored the rights of creditors, and particularly the creditors mentioned in her bill, and negligently and unskillfully handled the estate in such a manner that she not only failed to realize a reasonable value for the personal property owned by the deceased, but she paid some creditors in full and failed and refused to pay some of the other creditors at all, and instead of promptly

making settlement of said estate as she should have done, permitted the administration of said estate to lag along until it finally became considerably wasted, and until these unpaid creditors, especially George C. McKenzie and O. B. Wunschow, had been compelled to litigate their claims in court. That by reason of the inefficient handling of said estate, unless she is required to make proper accounting for her administration and be charged with the amounts resulting in a waste and dissipation of the estate, inefficient operation, etc., that the creditors mentioned in her bill would receive practically nothing, and the other creditors, whose claims amounted to some \$400,000.00, having received the full amount of their claims.

[fol. 20]

VIII

This cross-complainant further avers that the said executrix has all along failed and refused to make accounting from time to time so that creditors could make an inspection of her reports and thus take proper action toward protecting their claims, and by reason of her failure and refusal to make such proper accounting, to file inventories, to render statements of her accounting to the County Court Clerk, as required by law, and all the time covering up her misdeeds, inefficiency, waste, and the co-mingling of said estate, until these creditors have been denuded of any opportunity of protecting their rights by proper court proceedings requiring said executrix to make bond, or otherwise to protect their rights, and on account of which they have been laboring under the misapprehension all through said administration that the estate was solvent, and that when their indebtedness was finally determined, that they would be paid in full.

This cross-complainant avers that the acts on the part of said executrix amount to a fraud upon the rights of the creditors mentioned in the bill, and any other creditors whose claims might be valid but not yet set up. Unless a proper accounting is made and this executrix properly charged, that they will thus be practically denuded of any right to recover from this estate any substantial part of the amounts respectively due them.

IX

And now the said executrix comes into court and files the bill in this cause, alleging that the estate is insolvent,

that all of the other creditors (amounting to some \$400,000.00) have been paid in full, that these creditors are only entitled to the small amount which the real estate might bring, which is alleged as being of the value of a very insignificant sum in comparison with said debts, and in comparison with what the other creditors have received; and then averring that she is entitled to \$32,837.30 and some odd dollars, advancements, is a fraud upon these creditors and [fol. 21] an attempt to procure the aid of the court in fully accomplishing said fraud, and an effort on her part to prevent them from recovering anything at all from the estate, regardless of the justness of such claims:

X

That the claims herein are such that the said executrix did not and does not want to pay and has refused to pay, and she has so skillfully and fraudulently represented and manipulated said estate as to these creditors that unless the relief prayed for in this cross-bill is provided, that these creditors will receive practically nothing. That this executrix, by reason of the facts herein, is not competent, and is so prejudiced, her interest is so antagonistic to these creditors and her attitude so bitter, that her bill should not be sustained as being for the benefit of these creditors, and that, therefore, it is necessary to file this answer and cross-bill so that the litigation may be prosecuted by someone having the interest of these creditors in view. The cross-complainant's claim has been adjudicated by the Supreme Court of Tennessee, and cannot be questioned by said executrix or any creditor. Neither is complainant's bill filed on behalf of the creditors of the C. C. Nottingham estate.

XI

Premises Considered, Cross-Complainant Prays:

(1) That this cross-bill may be filed in this court and in this cause, on behalf of this cross-complainant and all of the other creditors of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, and that process issue requiring the cross-defendant Annie R. Nottingham, and Annie R. Nottingham, Executrix, to appear and answer this crossbill, but her oaths to her answers as executrix and individually, are waived.

(2) That this cross-bill be sustained as such as a proceeding on behalf of cross-complainant, George C. Mc-

Kenzie, as Specir^l Receiver and Commissioner for R. A. Lowery, J. A. Lowery and Kathleen Tullock, and on behalf of all of the other creditors of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased.

(3) That the Administration of said estate be trans-[fol. 22] ferred from the County Court of Hamilton County to this court, and to this end that all necessary references be ordered and all proper accounts be taken, and proper distribution of the estate be made among those entitled thereto.

(4) That the commencement or prosecution of all suits at law or of all other suits in equity be enjoined, except any suit now pending about which the validity of the claim sued on is questioned.

(5) That all persons, cross-defendant included, having claims against said estate, be required to present and substantiate them in this cause and file legal proof before the Master within such time as your Honor may order, or be forever barred.

(6) That any real estate belonging to the estate be sold, subject to the homestead and dower rights, if any, and the proceeds thereof, as well as the proceeds of any personal estate of the decedent not now sold, be applied in due course of administration and be paid out to those thereunto legally entitled, and that proper allowance be made for cross-complainant's solicitors for their services in this cause.

(7) That Annie R. Nottingham, as executrix and individually, be denied any recovery on her alleged claim and that her alleged retainer of the funds appropriated by her be denied, and that she be charged with all money and property coming into her hands for the reasonable amount and value of such property, and that she be given credit for only the pro rata of such amounts of said creditors' claims as have been paid in relation to the amount and value of the estate coming into her hands, and with respect to the claims of creditors presenting and proving them in this cause.

(8) That publication issue, as required by law, notifying all creditors of the pendency of this suit so that they will have an opportunity of filing their claims.

(9) That if necessary, let a Receiver be appointed to take charge of any property which has not yet been disposed of and hold the same subject to such further orders as the Court might make in this cause.

[fols. 23-27] (10) Grant general relief.

This is the First Application for a Receiver in this cause.
George C. McKenzie, Cross-Complainant.

Chamlee, Chamlee & J. Frassrand, Solicitor for Cross-Complainant.

Duly sworn to by George C. McKenzie. Jurat omitted in printing.

[fol. 28] IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

ANSWER TO CROSS BILL OF CHAS. S. COFFEY, RECEIVER—Filed
October 5, 1935

For answer to the cross bill filed herein by Charles S. Coffey, Receiver, cross defendant says:

1

She reiterates that she was entitled, as executrix, to repay to herself individually, the sums of money so paid, upon advances which she made to the estate. These advances and payments of debts were made at times when the estate was considered amply solvent; they were made before the First National Bank ceased to do business, when every one considered that bank a sound institution, and when its stock was worth at least three times par. They were made before the beginning of the "depression." Had conditions continued even approximately as they were then, all debts would long ago have been paid in full. The loans to the estate were made in good faith; the money was all used for the payment of valid debts against the estate; the repayments were made in good faith. The advances did not increase the indebtedness of the estate. For these and other reasons, cross defendant says that she, as executrix, had the right to pay herself, individually, the amounts of money so repaid; and that she had the further right to file her claim against the estate, for the balance due her on account of such advances. Cross defendant further says that much

of the money advanced to the estate went to pay its debts to the First National Bank, the exact amount of which will be shown at the hearing. Cross defendant avers that if she, as executrix, is chargeable on account of having paid some debts in full when it now develops there are others which cannot be so paid, then she is entitled to have credited upon the amount claimed by this receiver any amount [fol. 29] she paid to the First National Bank over and above its proper pro rata.

2

When the original bill was filed herein, cross defendant was of opinion she had made accounting and settlement with the County Court Clerk; but it now appears she was in error in this respect. However, she is ready now, or at any time desired, to make such settlement and accounting. For the convenience of the Court, and all parties in interest, she attaches hereto, as Exhibit "A" a true and correct statement of all her receipts and disbursements from the beginning to the present time. At such time as may suit the convenience of the Court and the parties in interest, she will appear with her vouchers and receipts, submit to any examination desired, and file with the Clerk and Master all such vouchers, receipts, etc. Cross defendant has no objection to the estate being further and finally administered in this Court.

3

While cross defendant would be glad to know the estate does not owe George C. McKenzie, as special commissioner, etc., and O. B. Wunschow, as administrator, any sums; yet she is advised the estate probably will owe the claim in favor of Wunschow, administrator, if he is able to substantiate his claim. The suit was filed in September, 1931, and has been in court ever since. It has recently been sent back by the Supreme Court for retrial as to one item amounting to \$17,000.00; the balance of the claim having been disposed of favorably to the estate in the appellate court. She is advised that the statute of limitations could not be pleaded under the circumstances.

As to the indebtedness in favor of George C. McKenzie, as Special Commissioner, etc., suit was instituted before the death of said C. C. Nottingham and revived against cross defendant as executrix, and resulted in a final judg-

ment by the appellate court recently, in the sum of \$17,851.37 and costs. Reliance was had upon the statute of limitations in that case, but the Courts held it inapplicable; and she does not know of any way to prevent the judgment being a debt against the estate.

[fol. 30] As to the claim of Paul J. Kent, Receiver, she does not believe the estate ought to be charged with that.

As to her own claim against the estate, she denies same is barred by the statute of limitations, and insists she has a valid claim for the amount alleged to be due her.

4

Paragraph numbered 11 of said cross-bill is admitted to be true, so far as the number of shares owned by the estate, and sold, is concerned. The amounts received by such stock will be found in the itemized statement hereto attached. Said estate owns 1,380 shares of stock of the par value of \$100.00 each.

5

It is admitted cross complainant is receiver of the First National Bank; the date of the assessment is not known, and proof thereof is required if material. Cross defendant is advised and believes the estate does owe the receiver the sum of \$138,000 but whether interest is chargeable thereon she does not know and demands proof thereof. She denies that cross-complainant has any lien upon any assets of the estate superior to the lien of any other creditor. She admits the receiver is entitled to an accounting, which she is ready to render at any time. She denies he is entitled to any judgment against her for waste or misapplication because there has been none.

6

Cross defendant denies that she is individually the owner of said 1,380 shares of stock, by reason of the terms of said will, or otherwise. She has never had the stock transferred to her name, or claimed it. All dividends went into her account as executrix. The stock is still held as a part of the assets of the estate. Therefore she denies that she individually owes the cross complainant anything on account of the said 1,380 shares of stock.

It is admitted that said estate was worth more than \$1,000.00 and that it is now insolvent. This insolvency was brought about solely by the failure of the First National Bank.

[fol. 31] Up to the time of that failure all debts of which cross defendant knew, with the exception of the two litigated matters which she did not think she owed, had been paid. But the failure of the bank created additional claims against the estate in the sum of \$151,800., and wiped out assets which were believed to be worth around \$300,000.00. Cross defendant was unable to foresee such a radical change in the situation; and does not believe she should be chargeable with negligence in not foreseeing it.

Cross defendant has no objection to the further and final administration of said estate in this court. She avers, however, that the prayer of the bill for sale of realty should be granted, since the proceeds of such sale will be that much more for creditors.

Cross defendant adopts, as further answer to this cross bill, all the averments of her answer to the cross bill of George C. McKenzie, etc. so far as the same may be material.

For further answer to the cross bill in this cause, cross defendant says that the alleged claim of Mildred Williams' estate, heretofore mentioned, was originally in the sum of \$125,000.00, that being the amount sued for. However, by reason of two trials in the Circuit Court of Hamilton County, and hearings in the Appellate Courts, this claim was reduced to \$17,000.00; and the cause was remanded for a new trial as to that amount. The case is still pending for a trial on the merits as to this claim of \$17,000.00. Cross defendant avers even that amount is no just claim against the estate, though there may possibly be a judgment against the estate therefor.

As to the Lowery case, wherein George C. McKenzie is special receiver and commissioner, although cross defendant was advised and believed there was no liability against the estate, that suit resulted in a final judgment against the estate on the 16th day of February, 1935, in the amount already mentioned. She believed until final decision there

was no liability. The defense there was based upon stat-[fol. 32] ute of limitations, and failed.

Up until the wholly unexpected bank closings, cross defendant at all times had in her possession ample assets to pay both of said claims, had there been judgment in each for the full amount sued for, to-wit: about \$143,000.00. But with the failure of the banks, all substantial assets were gone; and the estate acquired additional liabilities, in the place of those assets, for assessments on stock. No claims other than the two mentioned in this paragraph, were known to be outstanding; and no additional claims have ever been presented, until after the bank failures, when Coffey, Receiver and Kent, Receiver, claimed assessments in the approximate sum of \$151,000.00 against the estate. Said estate was then of course unable to pay, in full, all or any of said claims; but, if they are valid claims, they are still outstanding against said estate.

10

Further answering said cross bill, cross defendant says that all amounts of any significance which she paid with her own money advanced to the estate were represented by notes to different banks which were secured by the pledge as collateral or valuable stock, all of which was stock of the First National Bank of Chattanooga. In order to preserve this stock, and prevent foreclosure of the pledge agreements, cross defendant was advised and believed it was necessary for her to pay at maturity the obligations so secured. By doing this, she redeemed for the estate assets which were then worth much more than the amount advanced to and paid out for the estate. It was not until more than three years thereafter that the estate was found to be insolvent; which insolvency resulted from circumstances entirely beyond the control of cross defendant or any one else. She respectfully submits that she is not chargeable as matter of law, or otherwise, with any negligence or wrongdoing by reason of such payments; and that she should not be charged, upon final settlement, with any portion of the amounts so paid; that she individually should be allowed credit for the full amount she advanced and charged only with the amounts actually repaid, as shown by her report filed herein; and her claim [fol. 33] should be allowed as a preferred claim for the balance due, since the payments made by her preserved assets of the estate.

All averments of the cross bill not hereinbefore admitted, explained or denied, are here generally denied as fully as though specifically denied.

Chas. A. Noone, Solicitor for Cross Deft.

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER

Statement Annie R. Nottingham
Executrix Estate C. C. Nottingham

Page # 1

Receipts

1929

April 30, 1929—Fourth Liberty Loan Coupons	\$8.50	
Fairyland Golf and Country Club Coupons	105.00	
Forest Hills Cemetery Association Coupon	90.00	
		\$203.50
April 30, 1929—Check # 1092 Tennessee Electric Power Company on Hamilton National Bank being dividend on 5% First preferred shares		1.25
April 30, 1929—Cashier's check # 69177 of First National Bank being commission due Mr. Nottingham on extension of G. L. Gilbert Real Estate Loan—being extended for one year		10.00
April 30, 1929—Check # 223 Interstate Life & Accident Company on Hamilton National Bank—being quarterly dividend 25¢ per share		37.00
April 30, 1929—Cashier's Check # 69434 First Nat'l. Bank being C. C. Nottingham's pro rata part of [fol. 34]		
interest from Ducktown Pyrites Corporation note due March 21, 1929		133.02
April 30, 1929—Check # 4048 J. P. Hoskins being Mr. Nottingham's pro rata part of dividend declared on Davenport Hosiery Mills, Incorporated stock		375.00
April 30, 1929—Note Ducktown Chemical and Iron Company payable to Ducktown Pyrites Corporation and endorsed by Ducktown Pyrites Corporation, Annie R. Nottingham personally, and Annie R. Nottingham, Executrix, due March 21, 1930 in amount of	\$33,007.98	
One year's interest	1,980.47	
	\$34,988.46	
Less discount 325 days 6%	1,895.21	
		33,093.24

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

May 1, 1929—Check # 13670 C. C. Nottingham payable to Annie R. Nottingham Executrix representing balance transferred from personal account C. C. Nottingham to Annie R. Nottingham, Executrix account May 1, 1929	12,370.97
May 1, 1929—O. B. Andrews Company Check # 4036 on the First National Bank, being dividend on O. B. Andrews Company Common stock	66.25
May 1, 1929—Check Annie R. Nottingham # 2538 payable to Estate C. C. Nottingham, being money loaned personally by Mrs. Nottingham to Executrix account May 1, 1929	25,000.00
(Advanced to redeem pledged assets of estate)	
May 29, 1929—Check Annie R. Nottingham # 2610	

[fol. 35]

payable to Estate C. C. Nottingham being money loaned personally by Mrs. Nottingham to Executrix account May 29, 1929	***15,000.00
(Advanced to redeem pledged assets of estate.)	
June 1, 1929—Forest Hills Cemetery Asso. Coupon due June 1, 1929	30.00
June 1, 1929—Sale of 146 shares of Interstate Life & Accident Ins. Stock belonging to C. C. Nottingham through Luckadoo and Elmore, Brokers	5,254.00
June 8, 1929—Cashier's Check # 68465 payable to C. C. Nottingham and found in his desk after his death. Do not know from what this was derived	1,036.08
June 10, 1929—Rebate of interest made by Chemical National Bank on note taken up before maturity being check # 275 of Chemical Bank and Trust Company	47.50
June 11, 1929—Check Annie Rathburn Nottingham dated June 10, 1929 being # 2662—money loaned Estate of C. C. Nottingham out of Mrs. Nottingham's own personal funds	***6,500.00
(Advanced to redeem pledged assets of estate)	
June 14, 1929—Loan to Estate C. C. Nottingham out of personal funds of Annie R. Nottingham represented by her check # 2673	***20,000.00
June 14, 1929—Balance to credit C. C. Nottingham Savings Account # 3859—this amount transferred June 14, 1929 to Executrix account	3,566.10
June 15, 1929—Cashier's Check # 57836 Hamilton Nat'l. Bank payable to Mrs. C. C. Nottingham covering interest rebate on note C. C. Nottingham	

[fol. 36]

dated March 25, 1929 due June 24, 1929 but paid before maturity, being taken up on June 10, 1929	14.00
--	-------

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

July 1, 1929—Check #301 being dividend on First National Bank stock second quarter 1929, payable July 1st, 1929.....	5,340.00
July 5, 1929—Proceeds from sale of 100 shares First National Bank of Chattanooga stock at \$323.00 per share.....	32,300.00
July 6, 1929—Check #7781 Chattanooga Golf and Country Club being sale of Certificate #300 River-view Company in name of C. C. Nottingham.....	75.00
July 6, 1929—Check #1864 Tennessee Electric Power Co., being dividend on one share of stock in name of C. C. Nottingham.....	1.25
July 17, 1929—Check #4314 J. P. Hoskins being dividend on 750 shares Common Stock Davenport Hosiery Mills.....	375.00
July 17, 1929—Check C. A. Noone #129343 Savings Account being payment 75 shares The First National Bank of Chattanooga stock at \$323 per share.....	24,225.00
July 19, 1929—Check #4216 J. P. Hoskins being sale 5/8 share Ducktown Chemical and Iron Company stock in name C. C. Nottingham.....	12.50
July 19, 1929—Cashier's Check #32851 Fifth-Third Union Trust Company Cincinnati being unearned discount on \$10,000 note C. C. Nottingham taken up July 18, 1929 but the maturity of which was Aug. 5, 1929.....	27.50
July 19, 1929—Sale of 100 shares The First National Bank of Chattanooga stock at \$323.00 on a share....	32,300.00
July 24, 1929—Cashier's Check #72111 payable to Annie R. Nottingham Executrix being payment [fol. 37]	
25 shares The First National Bank of Chattanooga stock C. C. Nottingham sold at \$323 per share....	8,075.00
July 26, 1929—Check #2 of J. P. Hoskins on The First National Bank of Chattanooga being payment 100 shares The First National Bank of Chattanooga stock, belonging to C. C. Nottingham at \$323.00 per share.....	32,300.00
Sept. 7, 1929—Check #22059 Trust Department The First National Bank of Chattanooga being refund due Mr. Nottingham in re some money withheld for tax in re Ducktown matters which was never used.....	40.00
Sept. 7, 1929—Check O. B. Andrews #4318 Dividend on Common Stock.....	66.25
Sept. 17, 1929—Sold stock Bank of Chattanooga belonging to C. C. Nottingham to Frank A. Nelson.....	4,100.00
Oct. 4, 1929—Check #2913 Tennessee Electric Power Co. Dividend on one share of stock.....	1.25

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

Oct. 4, 1929—Check # 306 The First National Bank of Chattanooga Third Quarterly Dividend for 1929 on First National Bank of Chattanooga Stock.....	4,140.00
Oct. 19, 1929—Dividend on Davenport Hosiery Mills stock.....	375.00
Dec. 16, 1929—Amount loaned Estate by Mrs. Annie R. Nottingham.....	***200.00
Dec. 19, 1929—Forest Hills Cemetery Coupon.....	30.00
Dec. 19, 1929—Amount loaned Estate by Mrs. Annie R. Nottingham.....	***50.00

Receipts—1930

Jan. 3, 1930—Part of Estate Dividend Check First National Bank (Balance went to Savings Account) [fol. 38]	1,000.00
Jan. 11, 1930—Sale of U. S. Treasury Certificates....	1,100.00
Jan. 22, 1930—Dividend Davenport Hosiery Mills....	375.00
Jan. 23, 1930—Dividend Tennessee Electric Power Co.	1.25
Feb. 18, 1930—Refund from L. C. Kickliter Tax Collector State Florida representing 3% discount on taxes covering Olympia property.....	3.59
March 21, 1930—Refund from Chemical Bank and Trust Co. for interest paid on Ducktown Chemical and Iron Company note.....	**326.67
*** Returned to Chemical National Bank on March 31, 1930 as they stated this was sent to us in error.	(Disregard)
April 1, 1930—Transfer from Savings Account Estate C. C. Nottingham to Checking Account Estate C. C. Nottingham.....	9,662.82
April 9, 1930—Transfer from Savings Account Estate C. C. Nottingham to Checking Account Estate C. C. Nottingham.....	423.84
April 10, 1930—Dividend on one share Tenn. Electric Power Co. stock.....	1.25
April 14, 1930—Money lent Estate by Mrs. Annie R. Nottingham as represented by check on her savings account this date.....	***8,000.00
April 21, 1930—Dividend on Davenport Hosiery Mills stock.....	375.00
May 1, 1930—Money loaned Estate by Mrs. Annie R. Nottingham as represented by her personal check #3490 deposited to checking account Estate C. C. Nottingham this date.....	***1,620.00
July 4, 1930—Dividend Check # 336 The First National Bank of Chattanooga being dividend payment for second quarter 1930.....	4,140.00
Aug. 16, 1930—Cashier's Check The First National Bank of Chattanooga # 3368 being payment principal and interest G. L. Gilbert loan.....	125.40
	(Disregard*)

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

[fol. 39]

This belonged to Mrs. Nottingham personally and check # 120 was drawn to reimburse her for same. Did not belong to Estate.

Oct. 6, 1930—Check # 7104 Tenn. Electric Power Co. being 5% Preferred Stock Dividend payable Oct. 1st, 1930.....	1.25
Oct. 20, 1930—Check J. P. Hoskins # 3045 being Dividend due Estate on Davenport Hosiery Mills stock...	375.00
Nov. 19, 1930—Coupons clipped from Participation Certificate # 2363:	
One due March 17, 1930.....	\$33.00
One due Sept. 17, 1930.....	33.00
	66.00
Nov. 20, 1930—Fourth 4¼% Liberty Loan Coupons out from Bond G05664297:	
Coupon due Oct. 15, 1929.....	\$2.13
Coupon due Apr. 15, 1930.....	2.12
Coupon due Oct. 15, 1930.....	2.13
	6.38
Nov. 20, 1930—Coupons clipped from Fairyland Golf and Country Club Bond:	
One due June 1, 1929.....	\$35.00
One due Dec. 1, 1929.....	35.00
One due June 1, 1930.....	35.00
One due Dec. 1, 1930.....	35.00
	140.00
Dec. 3, 1930—Government check # 576,076 Treasurer of United States Refund taxes illegally collected....	161.82
Dec. 29, 1930—Check Norman E. Jack being full settlement Florida Keys property in conformance with C. A. Noone's report.....	11,399.35
Dec. 29, 1930—Check Big Pine Corporation payable to Mrs. A. R. Nottingham being her pro rata part of balance to Big Pine Corporation credit in First National Bank.....	17.10

[fol. 40]

Receipts—1931

Jan. 2, 1931—Deposited check dated January 2, 1931 being check on Executrix Savings Acct. transferring like amount to Executrix checking account.....	4,464.83
Jan. 2, 1931—Check # 333 The First National Bank of Chattanooga being dividend check on First National Bank stock for fourth quarter 1930.....	4,140.00
Jan. 3, 1931—Check Norman E. Mack—being one-third of \$1500 collected from Nicklas notes (Big Pine Corporation).....	500.00
Jan. 3, 1931—Check # 550 Tennessee Electric Power Co. being dividend on one share of stock belonging to Estate C. C. Nottingham.....	1.25

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

Jan. 5, 1931—Check Corinth Oil & Refining Co. dated Jan. 2, 1931 payable to Estate C. C. Nottingham	17.15
Jan. 16, 1931—Davenport Hosiery Mills Dividend	375.00
Jan. 16, 1931—Interest payment by Evans and Bernsen in re Lucey matter balance to be paid March 1st, 1931	319.83
Mar. 3, 1931—Evans and Bernsen sale;	
Interest on \$8,000 Oct. 1-28 to Nov. 17-30	\$1,022.66
Interest on \$4,000 Oct. 23-29 to Nov. 17-30	\$256.67
	<u>\$1,279.33</u>
Less credit 1/17/31	319.83
Amount deposited	959.50
April 1, 1931—Tennessee Electric Power Co. Dividend	1.25
April 1, 1931—First National Bank of Chattanooga, dividend	4,140.00
April 1, 1931—Davenport Hosiery Mills Dividend	375.00
April 24, 1931—Liberty Bond Coupon G 05664297 Due April 15, 1931	2.12
[fol. 41]	
April 24, 1931—Two coupons Forest Hills Cemetery Association:	
One due Dec. 1, 1930	\$30.00
One due June 1, 1930	\$30.00
	<u>60.00</u>
April 24, 1931—Interest on Participation certificate #2364	33.00
June 1, 1931—Coupon Forest Hills Cemetery due June 1st, 1931	30.00
June 2, 1931—Coupon Fairyland Golf and Country Club due June 1, 1931	35.00
June 2, 1931—Six months' interest on \$12,000 Lucey Mfg. Co. note	360.00
June 8, 1931—Interest on note Maclellan & Pound—being first note due on Lookout Mountain Property sold to above parties—note extended but interest paid	114.24
July 1, 1931—Check #330 First National Bank of Chattanooga—being dividend second quarter 1931	4,140.00
July 1, 1931—Check #2042 dated July 1, 1931 being 5% Preferred Stock dividend on one share Tennessee Electric Power Company stock held by Estate C. C. N.	1.25
July 2, 1931—Check J. P. Hoskins payable to Estate C. C. Nottingham being dividend on Davenport Hosiery Mills stock	375.00

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

July 26, 1931—Check Norman E. Mack dated July 20, 1931 payable to C. A. Noone Attorney for Mrs. Nottingham, being her share of Hicklas notes paid.	1,395.29
Aug. 14, 1931—Payment made by Pound et al on account of interest on notes for property bought from Estate on Lookout Mountain.	28.56
Oct. 1, 1931—Tennessee Electric Power Dividend.	1.25
Oct. 1, 1931—Check #330 First National Bank being third-quarter dividend 1931.	3,450.00
[fol. 42]	
Oct. 2, 1931—Check #5673 J. P. Hoskins payable to Estate C. G. N. being dividend on Davenport Hosiery Mills stock.	375.00
Nov. 2, 1931—Liberty Loan Coupon clipped from Bond G 05664297 due October 13, 1931, belonging to Estate C. C. N.	2.13
Nov. 6, 1931—Coupon #4 clipped from Participation Certificate #2364 belonging to Estate C. C. Nottingham which was due Sept. 17, 1931.	33.00
Dec. 1, 1931—Coupon #10 clipped from Bond #15 Fairyland Golf and Country Club due Dec. 1, 1931.	35.00
Dec. 29, 1931—Int. collected from Bernsen in re Lucey Mfg. Corp.	360.00

Receipts—1932

Jan. 2, 1932—Fourth Quarter 1931 Dividend on First Natl. Bank stock Estate C. C. N. check #330 First Natl. Bank.	3,450.00
Jan. 2, 1932—Check #5831 J. P. Hoskins payable to Estate C. C. N. being dividend on Davenport Hosiery Mills stock owned by Estate C. C. N.	375.00
Jan. 2, 1932—Dividend on one share Tennessee Electric Power Company stock owned by Estate C. C. N. Check #407 Tennessee Electric Power Co. 1.	1.25
Jan. 8, 1932—Check #5723 O. B. Andrews Company being dividend on common stock held by Estate C. C. N.	26.50
Jan. 11, 1932—Sale of U. S. Treasury C/D.	500.00
Feb. 19, 1932—Check #2436 J. B. Pound dated February 4, 1932 being interest on Pound-MacLellan notes \$1,903.91 to February 5, 1932.	58.38
Mar. 16, 1932—Cashier's check #8022 payable to Mrs. C. C. Nottingham Executrix being interest on Participation Ctf. #2463.	33.00
Apr. 1, 1932—Check #995 Tennessee Electric Power Co. dated April 1, 1932 being 5% Preferred Stock Dividend on one share Tennessee Electric Power	

[fol. 43]

Company stock 1.25

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

April 1, 1932—Check # 330 dated April 1, 1932 payable to Estate C. C. N. being dividend 45¢ per share First Natl. Bank of Chattanooga—first quarter 1932.....	3,105.00
April 3, 1932—Check J. P. Hoskins # 6001 payable to Estate C. C. N. being dividend on Davenport Hosiery Mills stock.....	375.00
June 5, 1932—Coupon Fairyland Golf and Country Club.....	15.00
June 25, 1932—Payment on Pound-MacLellan note.....	1,000.00
Int.....	28.56
	<hr/>
	1,028.56
July 1, 1932—Dividend on Tennessee Electric Power Co. stock.....	1.25
July 1, 1932—Dividend First Quarter First Natl. Bank stock.....	2,760.00
July 2, 1932—Dividend Davenport Hosiery Mills.....	187.50
July 23, 1932—Int. on MacLellan-Pound note to May 1, 1932.....	114.14
July 26, 1932—Int. on MacLellan-Pound note to May 1, 1932.....	114.24
Sept. 19, 1932—Int. on P. C. 32364.....	33.00
Oct. 1, 1932—Dividend on Tennessee Power stock.....	1.25
Oct. 1, 1932—Dividend on First National Bank stock.....	1,725.00
Oct. 3, 1932—Dividend on Davenport Hosiery Mills stock.....	187.50
Dec. 3, 1932—Forest Hills Cemetery Coupon due June 1, 1932.....	30.00
Forest Hills Cemetery coupon due Dec. 1, 1932.....	30.00
Fairyland Golf and Country Club coupon due Dec. 1, 1932.....	15.00
	<hr/>
	75.00

[fol. 44]

Receipts—1933

Jan. 3, 1933.....	187.50
Jan. 18, 1933.....	39.75
Jan. 18, 1933.....	1.25
Feb. 17, 1933.....	100.11
Aug. 10, 1933—Part of 40% dividend paid on Savings Account Estate and placed to checking account.....	3,724.37

 4,052.98

Receipts—1934

June 2, 1934—Forest Hills Cemetery Coupons.....	45.00
Receipts applied directly to account Mrs. Nottingham.....	31,718.52

 \$386,132.35

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

Page # 1

Statement Annie R. Nottingham Executrix
Estate C. C. Nottingham

Disbursements

1929

Check #1—Miss Margaret Waller—May 1, 1929. Services as nurse for Mr. Nottingham 10 days @ \$8.00 per day	60.00
Check #2—Hamilton National Bank—May 1, 1929. Notes due at Hamilton National Bank, Chattanooga, signed by C. C. Nottingham and paid by Annie R. Nottingham Executrix May 1st, 1929	17,045.34
Note due April 15, 1929	11,000.00
Past due interest April 15, 1929 to May 1st, 1929 6% \$1,000 16 days	20.34
[fol. 45]	
Note due April 15, 1929	6,000.00
Past due interest Apr. 15, 1929 to May 1st, 1929, 6% \$6,000, 16 days	16.00
	17,045.34
Check #3—First National Bank—May 1, 1929. Interest on demand notes at the First National Bank of Chattanooga, signed C. C. Nottingham—for month of March, 1929:	93.55
31 days 6% \$6986.67	36.00
31 days 6% 9737.50	50.31
31 days 6% 1400.00	7.24
	93.55
Check #4—First National Bank May 1, 1929	21.24
Interest on note C. C. Nottingham renewed by Annie R. Nottingham Executrix dated May 1, 1929 91 days due July 31, 1929—6% 91 days \$1400.00	21.24
Check #5—American Trust and Banking Company— May 1, 1929	81.90
Interest on note C. C. Nottingham renewed by Annie R. Nottingham Executrix dated April 22, 1929, 90 days due July 22, 1929, 6%, 91 days \$5400.00	81.90

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

Disbursements—1929

Check #6—First National Bank of Chattanooga—		
May 1, 1929.....		33,741.72
Note due April 8, 1929.....	\$12,000.00	
Past due interest April 8, 1929, to May		
1, 1929; 6% 23 days \$12,000.00.....	46.00	
Note due April 22, 1929.....	2,500.00	
Past due interest Apl. 22, 1929 to May		
1, 1929 6% 9 days \$2500.00.....	3.75	
[fol. 46]		
Note due May 13, 1929.....	1,500.00	
Note due June 24, 1929.....	1,000.00	
Note due on demand.....	6,966.67	
Note due on demand.....	9,737.30	
	<u>\$33,753.72</u>	
Less the Following Interest Rebate:		
Rebate of interest on \$1500 note		
May 1, 1929 to May 13, 1929 6%		
12 days \$1500.....	\$3.00	
Rebate of interest on \$15,000 note		
May 1, 1929 to June 24, 1929 6%		
54 days \$1,000.00.....	9.00	
		<u>12.00</u>
		\$33,741.72
Check #7—The First National Bank of Chattanooga		
May 1, 1929.....		90.51
6% 30 days \$9737.30.....	48.68	
6% 30 days \$6966.67.....	34.83	
6% 30 days \$1400.00.....	7.00	
		<u>90.51</u>
The above is Demand interest on Demand Notes for		
month of April, 1929.		
Check #8—T. W. Killough, Clerk, May 2, 1929.....		10.00
Probating Will and furnishing Letters Testamentary,		
C. C. Nottingham, deceased.		
Check #9—First National Bank of Chattanooga May		
4, 1929.....		15,080.00
Demand Note due by Mr. Nottingham at		
Central Trust Company, Cincinnati, Ohio		
and sent through The First National		
Bank of Chattanooga for payment \$15,-		
000 6% interest March 31, 1929 to May		
3, 1929 32 days 6% \$15,000.00.....	80.00	
	<u>\$15,080.00</u>	
Check #10—Harry E. Chapman, May 4, 1929.....		2,171.50
Funeral expenses—C. C. Nottingham.		

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER--Continued

[fol. 47]

Check # 11—Chattanooga Cadillac Company, May 4, 1929.....	3.20
Bill of March 14, 1929—Material used in repairing LaSaile.	
Check # 12—City Water Company, May 4, 1929.....	4.30
Service March 5, 1929 to Apl. 3, 1929.	
Check # 13—Chattanooga Chamber of Commerce, May 4, 1929.....	6.25
Dues for Second Quarter, C. C. Nottingham.	
Check # 14—Mrs. Herbert Haile, May 4, 1929.....	24.75
Stenographic services—mailing out mourning cards, 9 days @ \$2.75 per day \$24.75.	
Check # 15—Miss Josephine Haile, May 4, 1929.....	13.75
Stenographic services—mailing out mourning notices \$13.75.	
Check # 16—Chattanooga News—May 4, 1929.....	6.25
250 copies News containing notice of Mr. Nottingham's death.	
Check # 17—Etheridge Tire Service, May 4, 1929.....	3.75
Repairs on Car (February account).	
Check # 18—Kimball Engraving Company, May 4, 1929.....	19.50
Stationery—Mourning Envelopes and cards.	
Check # 19—Moore & King—May 4, 1929.....	17.85
Medicine for Mr. Nottingham, March 28, 1929 to April 5, 1929.	
Check # 20—Morgan Printing Co., May 4, 1929.....	15.00
Mourning envelopes—April account.	
Check # 21—Mountain City Club, May 4, 1929.....	7.50
Parking space for March 1929.....	\$5.50
House Account.....	2.00
	<hr/> 7.50

Check # 22—Rogers-Bailey Hwd. Company, May 4, 1929.....	3.35
March 7, 1929 to April 5, 1929 a/c.	
Check # 23—Southeastern Oil Company, May 4, 1929.....	30.68
Gasoline account for month of March, 1929.	
Check # 24—Southeastern Oil Company, May 4, 1929.....	52.00
Gasoline account for month of April, 1929.	
Check # 25—James M. Shaw Company, May 4, 1929.....	2.25

[fol. 48]

April 5-29, Pajamas for Mr. Nottingham.	
Check # 26—Willard J. Springfield, Postmaster, May 4, 1929.....	1.50
Postoffice box C. C. Nottingham, Box 1305, for quarter beginning April 1, 1929.	

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

Check # 27—Sterling Engraving Company, May 4, 1929.....	34.60
Mourning Envelopes and cards—April a/c.	
Check # 28—Albert W. Taber—May 4, 1929.....	25.00
Income Tax service.	
Check # 29—Tennessee Electric Power Co., May 4, 1929.....	11.14
Light Service Feb. 27, 1929 to March 27, 1929.	
Check # 30—Western Union Telegraph Co., May 4, 1929.....	26.85
Telegraph account for April.....	\$20.30
Cable account.....	6.55
	<hr/> 26.85
Check # 31—T. W. Killough, Clerk—May 6, 1929....	5.00
Five certified copies Letters Testamentary.	
Check # 32—Dr. Raymond W. Wallace—May 6, 1929..	239.00
Medical services rendered C. C. Nottingham up to and including April 6, 1929.	
Check # 33—Fifty-Third Union Trust Co., Cincinnati, Ohio, May 6, 1929.....	139.02
Note C. C. Nottingham \$10,000 due May 6, 1929 renewed for 91 days.	
Interest for 91 days, 6½%, \$10,000.....	\$139.02
Check # 34—The First National Bank of Chattanooga, May 6, 1929.....	37.50
One half \$75.00 interest coupon signed by John R. Evans due March 30, 1929. Mr. Nottingham and Mr. Evans own property jointly.	
Check # 35—Sam Heggie—May 8, 1929.....	2.00
Services at residence April 2, 1929 as Chiropodist.	
Check # 36—Warren A. Jeffords, Treasurer St. Paul's Second Quarterly payment church dues, 1929 subscription signed by Mr. Nottingham.....	100.00
[fol. 49]	
Check # 37—The First National Bank of Chattanooga, May 20, 1929.....	189.03
Note C. C. Nottingham due May 20, 1929 \$12,463.69 renewed for 91 days; 6% 91 days \$12,463.69 \$189.03	
New maturity Aug. 19, 1929	
Check # 38—Hamilton National Bank, May 20, 1929..	91.00
Note C. C. Nottingham due May 20, 1929 \$6000 renewed for 91 days, 6% 91 days \$600.....	\$91.00
Check # 39—The Community Chest May 20, 1929....	100.00
Second Quarterly payment 1929 pledge to Community Chest made by C. C. Nottingham. Total pledge \$400.00.	
Check # 40—First National Bank of Chattanooga, May 20, 1929:.....	50.00
Donation to Y.M.C.A. Bldg. Fund: Second payment C. C. Nottingham's subscription.	

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

Check # 41—New York Times May 22, 1929.....	17.50
Payment copies New York Times containing notice of Mr. Nottingham's death.	
Check # 42—Mrs. C. C. Nottingham, May 22, 1929...	45.00
Reimbursement for express paid on New York Times by Mrs. Nottingham personally.	
Check # 43—Mrs. C. C. Nottingham May 22, 1929...	35.00
Reimbursement for outlay made by Mrs. Nottingham for colored nurse for Mr. Nottingham.	
Check # 44—The First National Bank of Chattanooga, May 22, 1929.....	6.59
Express paid on 50 copies New York Times out of bank cash and this check drawn to reimburse bank.	
Check # 45—Lookout Mt. Fairyland Club, May 27, 1929.....	25.00
Dues for 1929 season.	
Check # 46—Mrs. C. E. Bearden, May 27, 1929.....	100.00
[fol. 50]	
Compromise of an account claimed to be due by Mrs. Bearden on work done by Mr. Bearden at 603 Pine St. during 1921 but which we could find no record of and of which Mr. or Mrs. Nottingham had no knowledge.	
Check # 47—Sterling Engraving Co., May 27, 1929...	15.90
Mourning envelopes and cards of acknowledgment. Invoice May 17, 1929.	
Check # 48—Chemical National Bank of New York, May 29, 1929.....	15,000.00
To pay note C. C. Nottingham at Chemical National Bank New York dated March 25, 1929 91 days due June 24, 1929.....	\$15,000.00
Check # 49—Dr. Chas. A. Waters, June 8, 1929.....	75.00
X-Ray Examination and treatment (March).	
Check # 50—Lee Brock, Internal Revenue Collector, June 8, 1929.....	93.26
Second Installment Income Tax.	
Check # 51—C. A. Noone, Attorney, June 10, 1929...	53.60
Payment of bills rendered by Mr. Noone as per his letter June 9, 1929.	
Check # 52—Hamilton National Bank June 10, 1929...	6,000.00
Payment note C. C. Nottingham dated March 25, 1929—91 days due June 24, 1929—\$6000.00. Paid June 10, 1929—before maturity.	
Check # 53—Meadowbrook Golf and Country Club—June 17, 1929.....	250.00
Payment of subscription C. C. Nottingham to said Club.	
Check # 54—The First National Bank of Chattanooga, June 24, 1929.....	75.83
Interest on note C. C. Nottingham dated June 24, 1929—91 days due Sept. 23, 1929—6% 91 days \$5,000.00.....	\$75.83

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

[fol. 51]

Check # 55—Seaboard National Bank, New York, N. Y., June 14, 1929.....	30,000.00
To pay note C. C. Nottingham at Seaboard National Bank dated March 18, 1929—91 days due June 17, 1929.	
Check # 56—Hamilton National Bank, July 1, 1929..	1,250.00
Note C. C. Nottingham dated April 1, 1929—91 days due July 1, 1929.	
Check # 57—Dr. Hugh Young, July 2, 1929.....	1,000.00
Account due Dr. Young for services rendered C. C. Nottingham in full to date of death.	
Check # 58—The Community Chest, July 2, 1929....	100.00
Third installment C. C. Nottingham 1929—sub- scription to Community Chest.	
Check # 59—Seaboard Bank New York, July 5, 1929..	962.50
Note dated July 8, 1929 renewed for 91 days—new maturity Oct. 7, 1929—amount of note \$70,000.00 5½% 90 days \$70,000. This is interest for only 90 days. Later, by Check # 65 we had to send Sea- board for one day's additional interest for note was made for 91 days.	
Check # 60—Seaboard Bank, New York, July 5, 1929..	16,500.00
Partial payment on \$86,500 note C. C. Nottingham due July 8, 1929 \$70,000 of same Being renewed for 90 days making same mature Oct. 7, 1929.	
Check # 61—American Trust & Banking Co., July 6, 1929.....	5,385.86
Payment note C. C. Nottingham dated April 22, 1929—91 days due July 22, 1929.....	\$5,400.00
Rebate of interest for 16 days.....	14.14
	<hr/>
	\$5,385.86
Check # 62—Hamilton National Bank, July 6, 1929...	5,958.00
Payment note C. C. Nottingham dated May 20, 1929—91 days due August 19, 1929.....	\$6,000.00
Rebate interest 42 days.....	42.00
	<hr/>
	\$5,958.00

[fol. 52]

Check # 63—First National Bank, July 6, 1929.....	1,394.16
Payment note C. C. Nottingham dated May 1, 1929, 91 days due July 31, 1929.....	1,400.00
Rebate of interest for 25 days.....	5.84
	<hr/>
	1,394.16

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

Check #64—The First National Bank of Chattanooga, July 6, 1929.....	4,934.17
Payment note C. C. Nottingham dated June 24, 1929, 91 days due Sept. 23, 1929.....	6,000.00
Rebate of interest 79 days.....	65.83
	<hr/>
	\$4,934.17
Check #65—Seaboard Bank New York, July 19, 1929.....	10.69
See check #59—Figured this interest for 90 days should have been for 91 days—therefore drawing above check #65 for one day's extra interest as follows: $5\frac{1}{2}\%$ 1 day \$70,000.00.....	\$10.69
Check #66—C. A. Noone, Attorney, July 15, 1929.....	100.00
Commission on sale of 1st National Bank stock belonging to C. C. Nottingham.	
Check #67—Fifth-Third Union Trust Company, Cincinnati, Ohio, July 17, 1929.....	10,000.00
Payment of note C. C. Nottingham which was renewed by Annie R. Nottingham, Executrix on May 6, 1929, 91 days—new maturity Aug. 5, 1929.	
Check #68—First National Bank of Chattanooga, July 17, 1929.....	12,395.14
Note C. C. Nottingham dated May 20, 1929, 91 days due August 19, 1929.....	\$12,463.69
Rebate of int. 6% 33 days \$12,463.69.....	66.55
	<hr/>
	\$12,396.14
Check #69—Elizabeth Dilley, July 17, 1929.....	100.00
Stenographic services.	
Check #70—C. A. Noone, July 18, 1929.....	50.00
Traveling expenses.	
[fol. 53]	
Check #71—Seaboard Bank New York, July 19, 1929.....	32,300.00
Part payment on \$70,000 note at Seaboard Bank dated July 8, 1929, 91 days due Oct. 7, 1929.	
Check #72—C. A. Noone, Attorney, July 23, 1929.....	25.73
Payment made by Mr. Noone to Olympia Development Corporation covering abstract to Olympia property.	
Check #73—Geo. M. Clark July 26, 1929.....	100.00
Check #74—C. A. Noone, Attorney, July 26, 1929.....	15.00
To pay bill Title Guaranty and Trust Co. in getting report on property belonging to Mrs. Williams—Invoice July 20, 1929 #29344.	
Check #75—C. A. Noone, Attorney, July 26, 1929.....	50.00
Opinion August Hartkorn at Hoboken, New Jersey, on handwriting.	

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

Check #76—First National Bank of Chattanooga, July 26, 1929.....	36,888.05
To pay balance of note at Seaboard Bank as follows:	
Note due Oct. 7, 1929.....	\$70,000.00
Paid July 22, 1929.....	32,300.00
	<u>\$37,700.00</u>

Less the following rebate of interest:

Rebate of interest on \$37,700.00 from date New York Bank received payment being July 24, 1929 to maturity of note—Oct. 7, 1929—5½% 75 days \$37,700.....	\$431.99
Rebate of interest on \$32,300.00 from date New York Bank received payment—being July 22, 1929 to maturity of note—Oct. 7, 1929, 5½% 77 days \$32,300.....	379.96
	<u>811.95</u>

Balance paid by above check..... \$36,888.05

Check #77—Meadowbrook Golf and Country Club— July 26, 1929.....	50.00
--	-------

Final payment in connection with C. C. Nottingham's subscription to above Club. We paid \$250

[fol. 54]

by check #53 and thought this was entire subscription but later discovered entire subscription was \$300, hence this additional payment.

Check #78—First Title Guaranty & Abstract Co., Key West, Florida, July 26, 1929.....	100.00
---	--------

Final payment stock of C. C. Nottingham in above abstract company at Key West, Florida.

Check #79—Warren A. Jeffords, Treasurer St. Paul's Church July 26, 1929.....	100.00
---	--------

Payment on church subscription.

Check #80—Olympia Development Corporation Aug. 3, 1929.....	2,096.95
--	----------

Final payment on Olympia property.... \$2,000.00

Interest on same..... 96.95

\$2,096.95

Check #81—Lee Brock—Internal Revenue Collector Sept. 11, 1929.....	93.26
---	-------

Third installment income tax C. C. Nottingham.

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

Check #82—Fairyland Golf and Country Club Sept. 11, 1929.....	54.00
Payment to above Club for Millorganite bought by Mr. Nottingham from Mr. Balfour in March 1929 for his lawn at 603 Pine St.	
Check #83—The First National Bank of Chattanooga, Sept. 17, 1929.....	1,100.00
Bought a Participation Certificate from The First National Bank of Chattanooga in name Annie R. Nottingham, Executrix.	
Check #84—The Community Chest October 4, 1926... Final installment 1929 Community Chest subscription C. C. Nottingham.	100.00
Check #85—Warren A. Jeffords, Treasurer St. Paul's Church, Oct. 4, 1929.....	100.00
Final payment St. Paul's Church subscription C. C. Nottingham.	
Check #86—First National Bank of Chattanooga Oct. 9, 1929.....	240.00
Six months interest on \$8000 note at First National Bank dated Oct. 1, 1929, 6 months due April 1, 1930	
[fol. 55]	
(in re Lucev Company).	
Check #87—American Trust & Banking Co., Oct. 19, 1929.....	250.00
Final payment subscription Chattanooga Lookout Mountain Park.	
Check #88—Annie R. Nottingham, Oct. 19, 1929... Repayment to Mrs. C. C. Nottingham for outlay to John Troutt & Company covering advance on cemetery work.	90.00
Check #89—John Troutt & Co., Oct. 26, 1929.....	100.00
Part payment to John Troutt & Company on cemetery work.	
Check #90—Savings Department First National Bank of Chattanooga, Nov. 1, 1929.....	5,000.00
Transferred from checking account Annie R. Nottingham Executrix to savings Account, Annie R. Nottingham, Executrix.	
Check #91—Savings Department First National Bank No. 2, 1929.....	2,000.00
Transferred from checking account Annie R. Nottingham, Executrix to savings account Annie R. Nottingham, Executrix.	
Check #92—T. W. Killough, Clerk, Nov. 2, 1929.....	3.50
Inventory Estate.	
Check #93—John Troutt & Company, Nov. 6, 1929... Part payment to John Troutt & Co. for cemetery work.	25.00

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

Check #94—John Troutt & Company, Nov. 9, 1929. Part payment to John Troutt & Company for cemetery work.	100.00
Check #95—Lee Brock, Int. Rev. Col., Dec. 3, 1929. Fourth and final installment income tax.	93.25
Check #96—John Troutt & Co., Dec. 17, 1929. Final payment cemetery work.	166.50
Check #97—Porter Allen Co., Dec. 10, 1929. Insurance on property at Key West owned by Big Pine Corporation Key West, Florida.	127.00

[fol. 56]

Check #98—Alvin Shipp, County Trustee, December 14, 1929. Personalty Tax 1926-1927-1928-1929.	278.55
Check #99—F. K. Rosamond, City Treasurer, Dec. 14, 1929. Personalty tax 1926-1927-1928-1929.	382.44
Check #100—L. C. Kickliter, Tax Collector—Stuart, Florida, Dec. 14, 1929. Taxes Lots Jupiter Island owned by C. C. Notting- ham.	117.20

Disbursements—1930

Check #101—First National Bank January 9, 1930. Purchase of U. S. Treasury Certificates.	1,100.00
Check #102—Albert W. Taber February 8, 1930. Services during year 1929 in connection with financial records of Estate and Federal taxes—also State and County tax matters.	100.00
Check #103—L. C. Keckliter Feby. 10, 1930. Additional tax due on Olympia lots.	21.20
Check #104—Chas. A. Noone, Feb. 15, 1930. Taxes 20-acre tract owned jointly by C. C. Notting- ham and John R. Evans. This is for back taxes 1926-1927-1928-1929.	429.12
Check #105—Collector Internal Revenue Feb. 25, 1930. Income tax C. C. Nottingham Jan. 1, 1929 to Apr. 6, 1929.	74.30
Check #106—Collector Internal Revenue Feb. 25, 1930. Income tax estate of C. C. Nottingham Apr. 6, 1929 to Dec. 31, 1929.	211.58
Check #107—E. Dilley March 19, 1930. Stenographic services.	200.00
Check #108—T. W. Killough, County Court Clerk, April 1, 1930. State Inheritance Tax.	8,427.06

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

Check #109—F. W. Donaldson, Collector Internal Revenue Apr. 1, 1939.....	1,235.76
Federal Estate Tax.....	
Check #110—First National Bank of Chattanooga, April 1, 1930.....	240.00
Interest on Lucey note for six months (Note is for \$8000).	
[fol. 57]	
Check #111—First National Bank of Chattanooga April 1, 1930.....	175.00
One year's interest on \$2500. First Mortgage Real Estate Loan.....	\$150.00
Commission for extension for one year.....	25.00
	<u>\$175.00</u>
Check #112—C. A. Noone, Attorney April 1, 1930.....	15.00
Williams case.	
Check #113—First National Bank of Chattanooga, April 9, 1930.....	50.00
Third installment Y. M. C. A. Building Fund.	
Check #114—T. W. Killough, County Court Clerk, April 9, 1930.....	3.00
Bill in connection with inventory.	
Charge ticket used instead of a check—	
Chemical Bk. & Trust Co., March 31, 1930.....	326.67
This money was sent to Estate C. C. Nottingham by Chemical Bank & Trust Co. under date of March 21, 1930. (See Deposit of that date in statement of Deposits) but said bank later wrote us they were in error in remitting this and asked us to return same, which we did under this date, so both the deposit and this check should be disregarded.	
Check #115—First National Bank of Chattanooga, April 14, 1930.....	8,017.33
Lucey note due First National Bank of Chattanooga.....	\$8,000.00
Past due int. Apr. 1, 1930 to Apr. 14, 1930.....	17.33
	<u>\$8,117.33</u>
Check #116—C. A. Noone, Attorney, May 1, 1930.....	5,000.00
Attorneys fees one-half payment on total bill of \$10,000, as charged Estate by C. A. Noone for all services rendered by him till final settlement of Estate.	
Check #117—First National Bank of Chattanooga, May 1, 1930.....	4,125.33
Lucey note due First National Bank of	

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

[fol. 58]

Chattanooga.....	\$4,000.00	
Six months interest.....	120.00	
Past due interest.....	5.33	
	<hr/>	
	\$4,125.33	
Check # 118—C. A. Noone, Attorney, July 1, 1930....		1,666.66
Second payment on C. A. Noone bill \$10,000 as above representing attorneys fees.		
Check # 119—Savings Department First National Bank of Chattanooga, July 1, 1930.....		2,450.00
Transfer from Estate checking account to Estate Savings Account.		
Check # 120—Annie R. Nottingham, Oct. 29, 1930....		125.40
Amount erroneously deposited to credit Executrix Account on Aug. 16, 1930 being part payment G. L. Gilbert loan which belonged to Mrs. C. C. Notting- ham personally and should not have been deposited to this account; therefore we are drawing this check payable to Mrs. Nottingham in order to reimburse her for this amount. See Deposit made under date of Aug. 16, 1930—\$125.40—Disregard same.		
Check # 121—Albert W. Taber, Nov. 13, 1930.....		254.50
Services Federal Estate Tax, etc. per statement in hands of Mrs. Nottingham—full year 1930.		
Check # 122—L. C. Kickliter, Tax Collector, Stuart, Florida, Nov. 13, 1930.....		135.59
State and County Taxes, 1930, Lots, Jupiter Island.		
Check # 123—E. Dilley, Nov. 26, 1930.....		50.00
Stenographic services full year 1930.		
Check # 124—Norman E. Mack.....		20.00
Stamps required on stock transferred to Norman E. Mack in re Big Pine Corporation, etc., settlement.		
[fol. 59] Disbursements—1931		
Check # 125—C. A. Noone, Attorney, Jan. 2, 1931....		514.05
Expenses incurred in re Estate C. C. Nottingham in full to Jan. 2, 1931.		
Check # 126—First National Bank of Chattanooga, Jan. 2, 1931.		
Property bid in for Mrs. C. C. Notting- ham for account of Estate by E. H. Law- man at public sale.....	\$2,684.03	
Cost for registering deed.....	4.60	
	<hr/>	
		2,688.63
Check # 127—C. A. Noone, Attorney, Jan. 2, 1931....		1,666.66
Third payment on attorneys fees. One payment of \$1,666.68 still due on final complete settlement.		
Check # 128—Savings Account First National Bank of Chattanooga, Jan. 7-31.....		16,000.00

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

Transfer from checking account Estate, C. C. Nottingham to Savings Account Estate, C. C. Nottingham.	
Check # 129—Collector Internal Revenue, Nashville, Tenn., Jan. 27, 1931.	99.67
Total payment income tax—year 1930 Estate C. C. Nottingham.	
Check # 130—Commissioner of Finance and Taxation, Jan. 27, 31.	10.30
Check # 131—F. K. Rosamond, City Treasurer, Feb. 10, 1931.	55.80
City taxes for 1930 on Sec. 35 TP 2R 7 W Tr. 20 acres—formerly in name John R. Evans and C. C. Nottingham.	
Check # 132—Alvin Shipp, Trustee, Chatta., Tenn., Feb. 10/31.	45.60
State and County Taxes for 1930 on Sec. 35 TP 2 R. & W. Tr. 20 acres formerly in name of John R. Evans and C. C. Nottingham.	
Check # 133—Annie R. Nottingham, Feb. 17, 1931.	500.00
Part payment to Mrs. Nottingham of money loaned Estate.	
Check # 134—Internal Revenue Collector, March 10, 1931.	235.12
Additional income tax due by Estate year '30.	
[fol. 60]	
Check # 135—Annie R. Nottingham, March 12, 1931.	700.00
Part payment to Mrs. Nottingham of money Estate.	
Check # 136—Payable to Savings Dept. First National Bank.	4,000.00
April 4, 1931—Transfer from checking Account Estate to Savings Account Estate.	
Check # 137—First National Bank of Chattanooga, May 14, 1931.	50.00
Installment Y. M. C. A. Building Fund Subscription.	
Check # 138—Annie R. Nottingham, May 14, 1931.	600.00
Part payment to Mrs. Nottingham of money loaned Estate.	
Check # 139—Annie R. Nottingham, June 10, 1931.	500.00
Part payment to Mrs. Nottingham of money loaned Estate.	
Check # 140—Savings Dept. First National Bank, July 1, 1931.	4,140.00
Transfer of dividend second quarter from checking account Estate to Savings Account Estate.	
Check # 141—Savings Dept. First National Bank, July 2, 1931.	375.00
Transfer of this amount from checking account to Savings Account.	

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

Check #142—Savings Dept. First National Bank, Oct. 10, 1931.....	3,000.00
Transfer of this amount from Estate Checking Account to Estate Savings Account.	
Check #143—Albert Taber, Oct. 27, 1931.....	50.00
Services in re Estate through 1931 to 1932.	
Check #144—Annie R. Nottingham, Dec. 1, 1931....	2,000.00
Part payment to Mrs. Nottingham of money loaned Estate.	
Check #145—Alvin Shipp, Trustee, Dec. 23, 1931....	24.51
1930 taxes on property on Signal Mountain owned by Estate C. C. N.	
Check #146—Alvin Shipp, Trustee, Dec. 23, 1931....	19.71
1931 taxes on property on Signal Mountain owned by Estate C. C. Nottingham.	

[fol. 61]

Disbursements—1932

Check #147—Savings Dept. First National Bank Jan. 5, 1931.....	\$4,000.00
Transfer from checking to savings.	
Check #148—First National Bank of Chattanooga, Jan. 9, 1932.....	500.00
Purchase U. S. 2% Bonds.	
Check #149—First National Bank Jan. 12, 1932.....	11.09
Com. in re purchase 2% Treas. Ctfs.	
Check #150—Voided.	
Check #151—F. W. Donaldson Feb. 8, 1932.....	97.86
March 15 payment income tax.	
Check #152—L. C. Kickliter County Tax Assessor Feb. 8, 1932.....	137.87
State and County taxes on lots 11, 12, 13 and 14, Block 100.	
Check #153—Annie R. Nottingham, March 3, 1932...	96.60
Reimbursement for taxes paid on property owned by Estate—being 20 acre tract:	
1930.....	\$40.80
1931.....	55.80
	<u>\$96.60</u>
Check #154—Com. Finance and Taxation March 7, 1932.....	19.94
Check #155—Annie R. Nottingham March 11, 1932...	100.00
Partial return of money loaned Estate.	
Check #156—Savings Dept. First National Bank Apr. 1, 1932.....	3,105.00
Check #157—E. Dilley April 4, 1932.....	25.00
Check #158—First National Bank, April 21, 1932....	50.00
Final payment Y. M. C. A. Building Fund.	

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

Check # 159—Income Tax Collector June 4, 1932.....	97.86
Second Installment Income Tax.	
Check # 160—E. Dilley June 4, 1932, Stenographic services.....	25.00
Check # 161—Annie R. Nottingham July 7, 1932.....	4,000.00
Partial return of money loaned Estate.	
Check # 162—July 25, 1932 Income Tax Collector.....	97.86
Third Installment income tax.	
No check—but noted on stub Aug. 1, 1932, Gov. tax charged by bank.....	.04
Check # 163—Albert W. Faber, Oct. 6, 1932.....	50.00
Services calendar year 1932.	

[fol. 62]

Check # 164—Annie R. Nottingham Oct. 7, 1932.....	2,000.00
Partial return of money loaned estate.	
Check # 165—E. Dilley Oct. 7, 1932.....	50.00
Check # 166—L. C. Kickliter Tax Collector Nov. 14, 1932.....	128.43
State and County Taxes Lots 11, 12, 13 and 14 Jupiter Island.	
Check # 167—E. Dilley, Dec. 3, 1932.....	150.00
Check # 168—Internal Revenue Collector Dec. 3, 1932.....	97.87
Fourth Installment income tax.	
Check # 169—Nov. 1, 1932:	
Gov. tax charged Nov. 1, 1932.....	.06
Gov. tax charged Dec. 1, 1932.....	.02
	.08
Check # 170—Gov. tax.....	.04

Disbursements—1933

Aug. 10—Loss account bank closing.....	201.87
Check 172 Int. Rev. Col.—first and second installment estate income tax.....	32.29
Aug. 15—Check 173, Chattanooga National Bank, transfer tax 750 shares Davenport.....	60.00
Aug. 16—Check 174, Cate & Fain, reporting Williams & Nottingham case.....	152.90
Aug. 16—Check 175, Geo. M. Clark, testimony Williams case.....	100.00
Sept. 1—Check 176, Int. Rev. Col. third installment income tax.....	30.62
Sept. 1—Check 177, Chattanooga National Bank, Gov't. tax.....	.08
Oct. 10—Check 178, Annie R. Nottingham, reimbursement for tax paid Dept. Finance and Taxation account estate.....	23.69
Oct. 2—Check 179, Chattanooga National Bank, Gov't. tax.....	.02

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

Oct. 17—Check 180, C. A. Noone, Atty's fees.....	3,166.66
Oct. 17—Check 181, Income Tax Col.—final settlement.....	62.29
Nov. 13—Check 182, E. Dilley, services for 1933.....	50.00
Nov. 13—Check 183, Stokes Com. Photo Co.....	19.50
Nov. 13—Check 184, Com. Nat. Bank, Gov't. tax.....	.08
Nov. 13—Check 185, Com. Nat. Bank, Gov't. tax.....	.02
[fol. 63]	

Disbursements—1934

Feb. 2—Check 186, Southern Photo and Blue Print.....	2.20
Feb. 9—Check 187, Cate and Fain, Williams case.....	7.50
April 11—Check 188, Dept. Finance and Taxation.....	7.24
June 25—Check 189, Geo. C. McKenzie, transcript Haggard case.....	10.00
Oct. 10—Check 190, Annie R. Nottingham applied on accts.....	100.00
Mar. 1—Check 191, Gov't Tax.....	.04
June 1—Check 192, Gov't Tax.....	.02
Aug. 1—Check 193, Gov't Tax.....	.02
Nov. 1—Check 194, Gov't Tax.....	.02

Disbursements—1935

April 17—Check 195 to Dept. Finance and Taxation.....	21.58
---	-------

Amounts Collected for Estate But Applied Direct on
Mrs. Nottingham's Individual Accounts

Jan. 2, 1931—Amount paid for real estate bid in by Mr. Lawman for Mrs. Nottingham, but paid for by Estate Check # 126.....	2,688.63
Mar. 1933—Participation Certificate Interest.....	24.75
April 1933—Liberty Bond Coupon.....	2.12
April 1933—Tenn. Elec. Power Co. Dividend.....	1.25
April 1933—Davenport dividend.....	93.75
Liberty bond sold.....	102.98
June 1933—Fairyland Coupon.....	5.00
June 1933—Forest Hills.....	15.00
July 1933—Davenport Dividend.....	93.75
July 1933—Tenn. Elec. Power Co. Dividend.....	1.25
July 28, 1933—Proceeds Sale of 750 Shares Davenport Stock.....	9,210.00
Aug. 1933—Part of 40% Savings Account Dividend.....	10,947.00
[fols. 64-69]	

Proceeds 53 Shares O. B. Andrews Stock.....	686.88
Sept. 14, 1933—Participation Certificates.....	1,100.00
Sept. 16, 1933—Balance due on J. B. Pound and R. J. MacLellan notes.....	4,711.16
1934—Tenn. Electric Power Co. dividends.....	5.00
Dec. 5, 1934—Forest Hills Cemetery Coupon.....	30.00

29,718.52

EXHIBIT "A" TO ANSWER—Continued

1929—Automobile	1,500.00
	<hr/>
	31,218.52
1934—Sale Forest Hill Cemetery Bond	500.00
	<hr/>
	41,718.52
Total receipts	\$386,132.35
Total disbursements	\$386,105.17
	<hr/>
Balance on hand	\$27.18

[fol. 70] IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

DECREE SUSTAINING CROSS BILL OF GEO. C. MCKENZIE—
Enrolled March 19, 1936

[Title omitted]

On motion of cross-complainant, George C. McKenzie, and it duly appearing that his cross bill in this case was properly filed, it is ordered by the Court that his cross bill be sustained as a general creditors' bill, upon behalf of himself, and all of the other creditors of the Estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased; and that the administration of the estate of said C. C. Nottingham, deceased, be transferred from the County Court of Hamilton County, to this Court. The institution of any and all suits against said estate is hereby enjoined, and the Master will so state in notice to creditors. All persons having claims against said estate will file them in this cause by petition, accompanied by proper prosecution bond or the taking of the pauper's oath. The Clerk of said County Court will forthwith transmit to this Court all inventories, reports, settlements, vouchers and other original papers in his office relating to said administration; and also a transcript of all orders, decrees, and other entries in the cause on the minutes of his Court, and all proceedings relative to said administration at the County Court are hereby enjoined; this Court now assuming exclusive jurisdiction of such administration and of all matters properly incident thereto.

And the Master will also make the publication for creditors and others interested to have themselves made parties to this suit.

IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

ORDER OF COUNTY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY, DATED APRIL
20, 1929. PROBATING WILL OF C. C. NOTTINGHAM, DECEASED
—Filed May 5, 1936

STATE OF TENNESSEE,

County of Hamilton:

Monday, April 1, 1929

The Quarterly Session of the Hamilton County Court having met this day and disposed of all its business, the [fol. 71] regular term of the Monthly County Court met in regular session, the Honorable Will Cummings, Judge of the County Court, present and presiding, when the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

STATE OF TENNESSEE,

County of Hamilton:

Saturday, April 20, 1929

Court met pursuant to adjournment, present and presiding the Honorable Will Cummings, Judge of the County Court of Hamilton County, Tennessee, when the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

In the Matter of the Will of C. C. NOTTINGHAM, Deceased

ORDER OF PROBATE

Be It Remembered, That on this the 20th day of April, 1929, before the Hon. Will Cummings, Judge of the County Court of Hamilton County, Tennessee, Annie Rathburn Nottingham, the person named as executrix therein, produced in court a paper writing purporting to be the last wills of C. C. Nottingham and Annie Rathburn Nottingham, bearing date February 1, 1928, having the name of C. C. Nottingham and Annie Rathburn Nottingham, signed thereto, and being subscribed by Arthur A. Dodworth and Myrtle V. Hitchcock, as attesting witnesses, which will is in the words and figures following to-wit:

Last Will and Testament

Whereas, we C. C. Nottingham and wife, Annie Rathburn Nottingham, both of Chattanooga, Tennessee, are about to

leave on a trip around the world, to be gone several months, and, as we know the uncertainties of life and the certainty of death, we hereby make, publish and declare this our last will and testament hereby revoking any and all wills heretofore made by either or both of us.

Item 1. In event of the death of either of us, the survivor is to take all of the property, real, personal and mixed, and wherever situated, belonging at the time to that one of us who died first, and the interest taken by such survivor in and to such property shall be absolute and in fee simple and subject to the provisions specified in item No. 2. The [fol. 72] survivor shall be the executor of the estate of the one who shall die and is hereby authorized to act as such without the necessity of making bond, the same being hereby waived.

Item 2. In event of the death of Annie Rathburn Nottingham, the following special bequests shall be paid from the income of her Estate.

To Mary G. Plantz of Pomeroy, Ohio	\$1000.00
To Wyatt G. Plantz of Pomeroy, Ohio	\$1000.00
To Fannie R. King, of Gallipolis, Ohio	\$1000.00
To Mrs. Chas. S. Ward, of Gadsden, Ala.	\$1000.00
To John Parks, of Chattanooga, Tenn.	500.00
To Margaret Hammond, of Chattanooga, Tenn.	500.00
To Jacques Rabier, of Paris, France	500.00
To Robert Wright, of Chattanooga, Tenn.	100.00
To Walter Chapman, of Chattanooga, Tenn.	100.00
To Mrs. E. M. Tallmadge, of Waukesha, Wisc.	100.00

There shall also be paid to Louise Whiton, of Chattanooga, Tenn. during her life time an annual income of Five Thousand (\$5000.00) Dollars a year, payable semi-annually.

Item 3. But it is possible that both of the testators herein may die, and in such event it is our joint and several will that all of our property, real, personal and mixed and wherever situated, shall go as follows after all debts and expenses have been provided for.

1st. All legacies provided for in Item 2 shall be paid.

2nd. The income to Louise Whiton for life shall be increased from Five Thousand (\$5000.00) to Ten Thousand (\$10,000) Dollars annually, to be paid out of income.

3rd. In lieu of the legacies specified in Item 2 to John Parks and Margaret Hammond of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars to each, there shall be paid each of them from the income of the Estates Six Hundred (\$600.00) Dollars a year, payable monthly.

4th. Our home at 603 Pine Street in the City of Chattanooga, we will and devise to the City of Chattanooga for Public Park purposes.

5th. The sum of One Thousand (\$1000.00) Dollars is willed to St. Paul's Episcopal Church of Chattanooga, Tennessee, for the purpose of erecting therein some memorial for ourselves. Our Executors and Trustees, hereinafter mentioned, are hereby vested with the duty and the power to fully determine the character and nature of the memorial, and to see to the fulfillment of this trust.

6th. It is our desire that our bodies, if possible, be buried on the lot belonging to us in Forest Hills Cemetery in Chattanooga, Tennessee, and that suitable monuments be erected thereon over our graves, and that necessary arrangements be made for the permanent care of these lots and also the Nottingham lots at Spring Grove Cemetery, Cincinnati, Ohio. This trust we impose upon our Executors hereinafter mentioned, who are given full discretion as to the character and nature of the monuments there to be erected and the cost thereof.

7th. The Executors and Trustees hereinafter mentioned, are directed to set aside the sum of Five Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars in Trust and to invest the same on approved security so as to produce an income of not less than Six (6%) per cent per annum. The income thus resulting from this bequest, to be for the sole use and benefit of the Dominican Sisters who conduct a Convent and School in the City of Chattanooga, Tennessee. Our purpose and object is to contribute in this manner to the personal support of the sisters in question, and those of their order that may have charge of said Convent and School. It is expressly understood, however, that the revenue resulting from this bequest shall be only used for the support of the sisters in question at Chattanooga, Tennessee, and not for the benefit of the Dominican Order at any other place.

All the rest and residue of our property wherever situated, we will and devise and bequeath to The First National Bank of Chattanooga, Chattanooga, Tennessee, and Wyatt G. Plantz in trust for the following purpose to-wit:

[fol. 74] (1) The balance of the income shall be divided equally among Wyatt G. Plantz, Fannie R. King and Mary G. Plantz, share and share alike, for and during the period of their natural lives. In case of the death of anyone of the beneficiaries herein named, that share belonging to such dead beneficiary, shall go to the remaining ones during their natural lives.

(2) In the performance of this Trust, said Trustees are hereby given the power to sell and dispose of any of the property herein conveyed, for the purpose of reinvestment.

(3) After the death of all the beneficiaries mentioned above in No. (1), the Estate and all income from same shall be held as a Trust Fund and the income distributed among the charities of the City of Chattanooga, Tennessee, as the Trustees may decide.

(4) In the event of the death of both of us, as hereinbefore pointed out, we hereby constitute and appoint the First National Bank of Chattanooga, Chattanooga, Tennessee, and Wyatt G. Plantz of Pomeroy, Ohio, the Executors of this our Last Will and Testament.

Witness Our Hands this 1st day of February, 1928.

C. C. Nottingham, Annie Rathburn Nottingham.

Witnesses: Arthur A. Dodworth, Address: Biltmore Hotel, Los Angeles. Myrtle V. Hitchcock, Address: Los Angeles, Biltmore Hotel.

and moved the court that the same be admitted to probate and record as the last Will of the said C. C. Nottingham, deceased.

And it appearing to the Court, from the testimony of credible witnesses that the attesting witnesses, Arthur A. Dodworth and Myrtle V. Hitchcock, are beyond the jurisdiction of the Court, and non-residents of the State of Tennessee; that the names of said attesting witnesses as they appear on said paper writing are in their own handwriting and are their genuine signatures, respectively; that the name of C. C. Nottingham as it appears on said paper is in his hand-

[fol. 75] writing and is his genuine signature; that said paper writing was executed by him on the day it bears date as and for his last will and testament, and that he was at the time of sound mind and disposing memory, and was more than 21 years of age; and that he lately died in Hamilton County, Tennessee, and his usual place of residence at the time of his death was in said county. It is accordingly so adjudged.

And it is adjudged and declared by the Court that said instrument is the true, whole and last will and testament of the said C. C. Nottingham, deceased, and the same is hereby admitted to probate as such; and the Clerk is directed to file and record the same.

And thereupon Annie Rathburn Nottingham, the executrix named in said Will, appeared in open court and took the oath required by law as executrix, and the will excusing her from giving bond, the Clerk is directed to issue letters testamentary to her.

[fol. 76] IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

DEMURRER TO CLAIM OF CHAS. S. COFFEY, RECEIVER—Filed
March 22, 1937

Comes the defendant, O. B. Wunschow, Executor of the Estate of Mildred W. Williams, and demurs to paragraph three of the answer and crossbill of Chas. S. Coffey, Receiver, and for cause of demurrer says:

That the claim of Chas. S. Coffey, Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga is barred by the Statute of Limitations as set out in the Code of Tennessee Section 8225, which is as follows:

The creditors of deceased persons, whether the former live within or without this state, shall within eighteen months (which period shall be deemed to include the six months protective period) from the qualification of the executor or administrator, file with the latter their accounts, demands and claims, that are matured or accrued causes of action at the date of such qualification, and bring suit for the recovery thereof, or be forever barred. As to accounts, demands and claims not so matured or accrued, the period

[fol. 77] allowable before bar is six months from the date the cause of action thereon accrued.

The claim of Chas. S. Coffey, Receiver was created by the Comptroller of the currency on April 19, 1934 and the Receiver had six months from that date in which to file suit on this claim, which was not done.

Wherefore, this defendant prays the Court for its ruling on this demurrer.

Otto B. Wunschow, Executor of the Estate of Mildred W. Williams.

Thach & Thach, Solicitors for Executor of the Estate of Mildred W. Williams.

IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

MEMO OF CHANCELLOR—Filed April 1, 1937

This cause is before the Court on a demurrer to the cross-bill filed by Charles S. Coffey, Receiver of the First National Bank. Coffey filed the answer setting up a claim against the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, for \$138,000.00 with interest thereon from April 15, 1935, the same being for an assessment against a stock in the First National Bank which belonged to the estate of C. C. Nottingham and was held by Annie R. Nottingham as executrix of said estate.

O. B. Wunschow, as executor of the estate of Mildred W. Williams, filed a claim against the estate of C. C. Nottingham for \$12,500.00, which was for the settlement of a lawsuit against the estate in the Circuit Court of Hamilton County, Tennessee. He then, being an alleged creditor, filed a demurrer against the claim of Charles S. Coffey, Receiver as aforesaid, on the ground "that the claim of Charles S. Coffey, Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, is barred by the statute of limitations as set out in Code of Tennessee, Section 8225, which is as follows:

'The creditors of deceased persons, whether the former live within or without the state, shall within eighteen months [fol. 78] (which period shall be deemed to include the six months protective period) from the qualification of the executor or administrator, file with the latter their accounts.

demands and claims, that are matured or accrued causes of action at the date of such qualification, and bring suit for the recovery thereof, or be forever barred. As to accounts, demands, and claims not so matured or accrued, the period allowable before bar is six months from the date the cause of action thereon accrued.'

"The claim of Chas. S. Coffey, Receiver was created by the Comptroller of the Currency on April 19, 1934 and the Receiver had six months from that date in which to file suit on this claim, which was not done."

The said Coffey, as Receiver, through his counsel, insists that the plea of the statute of limitations is personal to the executrix and that, therefore, the demurrant had no right under the law to file a demurrer.

The Court is of opinion that under the case of *Woods vs. Woods*, 99 Tenn. 50, a creditor vitally interested in the estate, and in the case of an insolvent estate, as this one is admitted to be, would be vitally interested in the defeat of a claim of this size against such an estate.

The case of *Woods vs. Woods* was for the purpose of winding up an insolvent estate. The executor raised the statute of limitations by demurrer in this case, as did also the guardian and his ward. Both demurrers raised the same question. The complainant insisted that even though the defense interposed by the executor would be good as to the executor, it was not so as to the guardian and ward, and that the Chancellor should not have sustained the demurrer of the guardian and his ward.

The Court further said that primarily the defense was that of the executor or personal representative, but, nevertheless, that such defenses were available to heirs and distributees, and devisees and legatees also. The Court further [fol. 79] ther said: "The heirs and distributees, devisees and legatees, are not third persons in the sense here contemplated. On the contrary, they are the persons primarily concerned where the creditors seek the subjection of property that would otherwise pass to them under the laws of descent and distribution in one instance and under the will in another. Persons so vitally interested need not stand by and wait for the personal representative to make a defense intended primarily for their benefit * * * the person, however, whether an heir, distributee, devisee or legatee, is not confined to his (executor) action against the personal

representative, but, when impleaded by the creditor in the first instance, he may interpose the statute, defeat the claims, and prevent the consumption of the estate". (Woods vs. Woods, 99 Tenn., 58).

This statute of limitations may be set up either by demurrer or by answer. In the answer and cross-bill of said Receiver the question of the statute of limitations is placed against Wunschow et al., in the following words:

"It is also denied that George C. McKenzie, O. B. Wunschow and Annie R. Nottingham, or either of them, are creditors of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased. If either of the said parties were at any time creditors of said estate their claims have long since been barred by the statute of limitations of two years.

"Complainant qualified as executor of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, on April 20, 1929. All claims against that estate on which suits were not brought became barred within two years and six months from that date, to-wit: on October 20, 1931". (Answer and cross-bill of Charles S. Coffey, Receiver, page 2.)

While the creditor has a right, in the opinion of the Court, to set up the statute of limitations as a defense to the claim of any other creditor in an insolvent estate because he is vitally interested therein, yet he must first be a creditor, [fol. 80] and the question of whether or not Wunschow, as executor, is a creditor is denied in the above quotation from the answer of Coffey, and it will be necessary first for Wunschow to establish himself as a creditor, and since his claim is denied by this cross-bill the Court thinks he should answer the cross-bill, and in his answer to the cross-bill he will be permitted to raise the same questions in his answer that he has raised in the demurrer, and the demurrer will be overruled under this provision.

The same question is raised by demurrer to the cross-bill of Paul J. Kent, Receiver, and in his answer and cross-bill he states that "This defendant refers to and adopts the statements of fact, averment, admissions and denials as set out in the answer heretofore filed by his co-defendant, Charles S. Coffey, Receiver of the first National Bank, and the section of his answer and cross-bill numbered 1, all of which is here reiterated."

The question of the statute of limitations and the claim of Wunschow is set up in question 1 of the answer of Coffey, Receiver, which is referred to and made a part of the answer of Kent, Receiver, and the demurrer to the cross-bill of Kent, Receiver will be overruled on the same ground and on the same conditions as that of Coffey, Receiver.

The said Wunschow will be allowed twenty (20) days after the filing of the order on the demurrer in which to answer said cross-bills so as not to delay.

J. L. Foust, Chancellor.

IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

ANSWER OF GEO. C. MCKENZIE TO PETITION AND CROSS-BILL
OF CHAS. S. COFFEY, RECEIVER—Filed April 6, 1937

In this cause George C. McKenzie as special receiver and commissioner for R. A. Lowery, J. A. Lowery and Kathleen Tullock, comes and for answer to the petition and cross-bill filed by Charles S. Coffey, receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, and objecting to the allowance of the claim filed by the said Charles S. Coffey, receiver, and to [fol. 81] the allowance of any part thereof, says:

That C. C. Nottingham died testate in Hamilton County, Tennessee on April 16th, 1929, and Annie R. Nottingham was duly appointed and qualified as the executrix of his will on April 20th, 1929.

That Charles Coffey was appointed by the Comptroller of the Currency of the United States as receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga on January 3rd, 1934, and has at all times since then been acting as such receiver.

That the alleged indebtedness of Charles S. Coffey, receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga against the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, and as against Mrs. Annie R. Nottingham as executrix of the estate of the said C. C. Nottingham occurred on April 19th, 1934, when the Comptroller of the Currency levied an assessment against the stockholders of the said Bank for 100 per cent of the par value of each and every share, payable at the office of said Receiver on or before May 26th, 1934.

George C. McKenzie as such special receiver further says that he is a creditor of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, de-

ceased, in the sum of \$17,851.37, and that his claim has been duly filed in this cause and set up therein.

George C. McKenzie as special receiver further says that Charles S. Coffey, as receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, did not file a suit upon the claim which he holds against the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, until on or about the 31st day of July, 1935.

He further says that Code Section 8225 of the 1932 Code of Tennessee provides as follows:

"The creditors of deceased persons, whether the former lived within or without this State, shall, within 18 months (which period shall be deemed to include the 6 months protected period) from the qualification of the executor or administrator, file with the latter their accounts, demands and claims, that are matured or accrued causes of action at the date of such qualification, and bring suit for the recovery [fols. 82-84] thereof, or be forever barred. As to accounts, demands and claims not so matured or accrued, the period allowable before bar is 6 months from the date the cause of action thereon accrues."

This objecting creditor further says that the claim of Charles S. Coffey, as receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, accrued on April 19th, 1934, and at the date of filing suit thereon said claim was barred by the statute of limitations above quoted, and said statute of limitations is plead in bar of any recovery on said claim upon behalf of Charles S. Coffey, receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga.

Joe Frassrand, W. F. Chamlee, Solr. for Geo. C. McKenzie, Receiver, etc.

[fols. 85-94] IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

ORDER OVERRULING DEMURRER OF O. B. WUNSCHOW—Enrolled
April 6, 1937

[Title omitted]

This cause came on to be heard before me upon the demurrer of O. B. Wunschow, Executor to the answer and cross-bill filed by Charles S. Coffey, Receiver and Paul J.

Kent, Receiver; and upon reading the record and considering the briefs of all parties, the Court is of opinion that a creditor of an estate is vitally interested and has a right to object to claims of other creditors. The Court is further of the opinion that O. B. Wunschow Executor should establish his claim by proving it, because said claim was denied in the answers and cross bills of Coffey, Receiver and Kent, Receiver, and that in his answer to the cross bills he will be permitted to raise the same questions that he has raised in his demurrer.

Therefore, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that O. B. Wunschow, Executor, a creditor of this estate, has the right to object to claims of any creditors, but that before he can do this he has to establish his claim by proof, it having been denied by other creditors.

Wunschow will be allowed twenty days after the filing of this order in which to answer the cross bills and will be permitted in his answer to raise the same questions that he has raised in his demurrer, and the demurrer will be overruled under this provisions.

[fol. 95] . IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

ORDER OF REFERENCE—Enrolled March 17, 1938

[Title omitted]

In this cause, on motion of George C. McKenzie, as [fol. 96] special receiver and commissioner for R. A. Lowery, J. A. Lowry and Kathleen Tullock, through his solicitors, it is ordered by the Court that the master make a report to the next term of the Chancery Court showing:

1. What assets, real and personal, C. C. Nottingham died seized of, specifying the main items thereof and describing the real estate fully.
2. What personal assets came, or ought by due diligence to have come into the hands of the Executrix, Annie R. Nottingham, specifying the main items thereof and giving the total amount.
3. Whether the exempt personalty and homestead have been duly set apart for the widow, and in what real estate the homestead has been assigned, if assigned.

4. What bona fide debts and charges against said estate have been paid by the Executrix, if any; to what creditors paid and the amount paid to each.

5. What bona fide debts and charges against said estate remain outstanding and unpaid, specifying each creditor, the amount due him, and the total amount.

6. Whether there are any other bona fide debts against the estate outstanding and unpaid; and if so, in whose hands.

7. Whether the personal assets of said estate have been exhausted in the payment of bona fide debts and charges.

8. Whether any of said real estate is encumbered, and if so, the nature and amount of the encumbrance and the owner thereof.

9. Whether the Executrix has wrongfully paid out any money to creditors, and if so, the amount, and what amount, if any, she should be charged.

IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

MOTION OF O. B. WUNSCHOW EXECUTOR TO REMAND CAUSE TO RULES AND ALLOW ANSWER—Filed Sept. 1, 1938

In this cause O. B. Wunschow, as Executor of the Estate of Mildred W. Williams, deceased, comes and moves the Court to remand the cause to the rules and to permit him to file an answer to the bill filed against him and others, as follows:

[fol. 97] "O. B. Wunschow, as Administrator of the Estate of Mildred W. Williams, deceased, comes and for answer to the bill filed against him and others in this cause, says:

"That the claim of Mrs. Annie R. Nottingham in the sum of \$76,370.00, or any part thereof, is barred by the statute of limitations of two and one-half years as provided by Section 4012 of Shannon's Annotated Code of Tennessee, and eighteen months as provided by Section 8225 as provided by the modified Acts contained in Williams Tennessee Code for 1932.

"This defendant further shows that he has filed an intervening petition in this cause setting up the claim due him by the Estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, in the sum of \$12,500.

"Further answering this defendant says that the claims of Charles S. Coffey, as Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga and the claim of Paul J. Kent, as Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank of Chattanooga in the alleged sums of \$178,000.00 and \$17,800.00 respectively are both barred by the statute of limitations as provided by Section 8225 of the Williams Annotated Code of Tennessee.

"The said alleged claims fell due in April, 1934, and the said Receivers respectively had 6 months from that date in which to file suits on their claims respectively, but which was not done. Therefore, the said statute is hereby plead as a bar to all of the claims above having been made by Annie R. Nottingham individually, Charles S. Coffey, Receiver and Paul J. Kent, Receiver."

Joe Frassrand, Solicitor for O. B. Wunschow, Executor.

IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

ORDER ON PLEA—Enrolled September 8, 1938

[Title omitted]

This cause is before the court on motion of O. B. Wunschow as executor of the estate of Mildred W. Williams, a creditor of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, to be permitted [fol. 98] to file a plea of the statute of limitations.

He will be permitted to file this plea, subject to legal defenses and exceptions.

IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

REPORT OF CLERK AND MASTER—Filed September 7, 1939

[Title omitted]

To the Hon. J. Lon Foust, Chancellor, Etc.:

Pursuant to a decree of reference entered in the above styled cause on March 17th, 1938, which reference has been revived from time to time,

The undersigned finds that he cannot make a satisfactory report on the items of reference until the question is settled and being one of law which involves the transactions between the Executrix and Mrs. Annie R. Nottingham individually, as this matter practically involves the entire reference.

Respectfully submitted this September 7th, 1939.
Sam Erwin, Clerk and Master.

[fol. 99] IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

ORDER APPOINTING ADMINISTRATOR AD LITEM FOR ESTATE OF
C. C. NOTTINGHAM, DEC'D—Enrolled May 7, 1940

[Title omitted]

In this cause it appearing from the record and it being admitted that Annie R. Nottingham, Executrix of the Estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, is also dead, and that no successor has been appointed to administer said estate of C. C. Nottingham deceased; and it being necessary to have said estate of C. C. Nottingham represented in this suit, on motion of cross-complainant, George C. McKenzie, it is ordered by the Court that S. W. Alley be appointed Administrator Ad Litem of the estate of said C. C. Nottingham, deceased, and it appearing that it will be necessary for said Administrator Ad Litem to take into his control and custody some property and assets of his intestate, it is further ordered by the Court, that, before entering upon the discharge of his duties, the said S. W. Alley will [fols. 100-110] execute an administration bond with good security in the penalty of \$1,000.00, conditioned as required by law. Said bond will be filed as a part of the record in this cause. The bond premium will be paid by the Clerk and master out of the funds in his hands in this cause.

[fol. 111] IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

ORDER REVIVING CAUSE AGAINST J. BUCKNER FISHER, RECEIVER—Enrolled May 10, '40

[Title omitted]

In this cause, it is admitted that Charles S. Coffey, Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, and Paul J. Kent, Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank, both being derendants and cross-complainants in this cause, have resigned and that J. Buckner Fisher has been appointed Receiver of both the trusts aforesaid and is now acting as such, and that all parties to the suit by their respective solicitors admitting said facts, by consent, this cause is revived against J. Buckner Fisher, as Receiver of both the First National Bank of Chattanooga and the Chattanooga National Bank, and it is ordered to stand in the same plight and condition which it was at the time of the respective resignations of Charles S. Coffey, Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, and Paul J. Kent, Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank.

IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

ORDER ADMITTING DEATH OF ANNIE R. NOTTINGHAM, AND REVIVING CAUSE AGAINST LOUISE WHITON, EXECUTRIX—Enrolled July 6, 1940.

[Title omitted]

In this cause it is admitted that complainant and cross defendant, Annie R. Nottingham, has died since the last term of court, and that Louise Whiton is the Executrix of said Annie R. Nottingham, and that all parties to the suit by their respective solicitors admitting said facts, by their consent, this cause is revived against Louise Whiton, as Executrix, and is ordered to stand in the same plight and [fol. 112] condition which it was at the time of the death of said Annie R. Nottingham.

IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY
DECREE MODIFYING REFERENCE—Enrolled July 10, 1940

[Title omitted]

In order to save the costs of an expensive reference, and to simply and save the Clerk and Master a laborious task of reporting upon all of the items of reference provided by the order of reference entered in this cause on the 17th day of March, 1938, some of which are not now material, as hereinafter shown; it is, with the approval of the Court, agreed as follows:

1. That all real estate owned by C. C. Nottingham at the time of his death has been sold during the pendency of this proceeding and the money has been paid into the registry of this court and is now in the hands of the Clerk & Master, being held subject to the further orders of the Court;

2. That all personal property owned by C. C. Nottingham has been disposed of and the funds therefrom have been reported by Annie R. Nottingham, Executrix of the Estate of C. G. Nottingham, deceased, in the report filed by her in her answer filed on the 7th day of October, 1935, in this cause, except the personalty sold pursuant to various decrees entered in this cause, and where sold pursuant to decrees in this cause the proceeds from the sale thereof have been paid to the Clerk and Master, and are now subject to the further orders of this Court, and further excepted there are funds in the hands of J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver, being dividends ordered and held by him in the liquidation of the First National Bank of Chattanooga and the Chattanooga National Bank of Chattanooga, and further dividends to be declared, such dividends declared and to be declared from such liquidations resulting from funds of the C. C. Nottingham Estate which were on deposit in the Chattanooga National Bank at the time of its being placed in receivership, and about which dividends there is a controversy as to whether the Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank of Chattanooga should be allowed to [fol. 113] retain them or sufficient of them as would be necessary to discharge its alleged indebtedness against the Estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased;

3. It is further agreed that Annie R. Nottingham, widow of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, is also dead and that no exemption of personalty or homestead was claimed and set apart to her, and that all exemption claims have expired with her death;

4. It is further agreed that the personal estate has been exhausted except as herein shown;

5. That the real estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, was not encumbered, and has been sold as heretofore agreed; and that it was necessary to sell such real estate to pay debts outstanding, and that the proceeds from the sale of the remaining personalty and the real estate are still insufficient to pay the just debts of C. C. Nottingham estate remaining;

And to the end that by agreement certain of the items of reference are not now necessary or would serve any legitimate purpose;

It Is Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that the order of reference entered on the date aforesaid be modified so that the only items necessary for the Master to report upon are as follows:

(1) Whether there are any further assets that should have or should come into the hands of the personal representative of the Estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, and if so, the asset or assets.

(2) Whether there are any debts unpaid for which the Estate of C. C. Nottingham is legally liable; and if so, to state the name or names of the bona fide creditors, and the amount owing to each.

(3) Whether there are any prior charges against the estate, and if so, the name of the creditor or creditors and the amount. Attorneys fees will not be reported but will be reserved for a future report.

(4) Whether Annie R. Nottingham, the Executrix, has wrongfully paid out any money to creditors; and whether she wrongfully retained any funds belonging to the Estate of C. C. Nottingham for her own use, and report the items [fol. 114] so wrongfully paid out or wrongfully retained.

The Master will consider the record in the cause where proper, the proof on file, and the agreement made a part of this decree, and make his report instantner.

All other matters are reserved.

IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

REPORT OF R. B. COOKE, SPECIAL MASTER—Filed August 21,
1940

To Hon. J. Lon Foust, Chancellor:

Pursuant to an order of Court made and entered in the above styled cause appointing R. B. Cooke Special Master, and directing him to make and file a report under the order of reference enrolled July 10, 1940, embracing the following items:

1. Whether there are any further assets that should have, or should come into the hands of the personal representative of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, and if so, the asset or assets.

2. Whether there are any debts unpaid for which the estate of C. C. Nottingham is legally liable; and if so, to state the name or names of the bona fide creditors, and the amount owing to each.

3. Whether there are any prior charges against the estate; and if so, the name of the creditor or creditors and the amount.

Attorney's fees will not be reported, but will be reserved for a future report.

4. Whether Annie R. Nottingham, the Executrix, has wrongfully paid out any money to creditors; and whether [fol. 115] she wrongfully paid out any money to creditors; and whether she wrongfully retained any funds belonging to the estate of C. C. Nottingham for her own use; and report the items so wrongfully paid out, or wrongfully retained.

And directing the Special Master to consider the record in the cause where proper, the proof on file, and the agreement made a part of this decree, and make his report instantner.

The undersigned, from the pleadings, proof on file and the entire record begs leave to report as follows:

1. There are further assets of the estate of C. C. Nottingham that should come into the hands of the personal representative as follows:

Dividends now held by the Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank as follows:

Second dividend	\$3,696.17
Third dividend	4,620.22
Fourth dividend	4,620.22
Total	\$12,936.61

and any future dividends arising from the same source in uncertain amounts, which may hereafter be declared. (See deposition of Elizabeth Dilley, (page 14 and Stipulation) filed April 15th, 1940.

And, also, certain sums of money arising from sale of real estate and whatever sources now in the hands of the Clerk and Master, the amount of which is not shown by the record, but appears on the execution docket in this cause.

2. The following debts for which the estate of C. C. Nottingham is liable legally, and names of creditors are:

George C. McKenzie, Receiver and Commissioner in the sum of	\$17,851.37
Costs of cause	52.46
Costs of certified copy	3.02
	\$17,906.85

Less Credit May 4, 1935	\$640.00	
Less Credit June 5, 1935	235.95	
Less Credit Dec. 28, 1936	53.90	930.45
		\$16,976.40

[fol. 116]

Forwarded	\$16,976.40
Int. from Jan. 25, 1936.	

See certified copy decree of Chancery Court of Meigs County, Tennessee on decree of Court of Appeals of Ten-

nessee in consolidated causes of Clara Lowery et al. vs. A. P. Haggard et al. and A. P. Haggard et al. vs. D. P. Pettit et al. Filed in this cause February 10, 1938.

O. B. Wunschow, Administrator of estate of Mildred W. Williams, deceased, with interest from February 19, 1937 \$12,500.00
(See Stipulation filed 10th February, 1937)

Elizabeth Dilley 250.00
(See Decree, July 26, 1940).

3. The claims of Paul J. Kent, Receiver and Chas. S. Coffey, Receiver, are disallowed because barred by the statute of limitations. C. C. Nottingham died April 6, 1929. Annie R. Nottingham was appointed as Executrix under the will probated in the County Court of Hamilton County, April 20, 1929. (See Transcript from County Court, filed May 5, 1936.)

The Executrix suggested the insolvency of the estate, April 17, 1935.

(See Transcript from County Court filed May 5, 1936.)

The Comptroller of the Currency of the United States levied an assessment of 100% against stockholders of record of the First National Bank on April 19, 1934 and against stockholders of record of the Chattanooga National Bank of 100% on April 20, 1934, and the receivers had six months from said dated in which to file their claims and bring suit upon same.

(See memo opinion of Chancellor, filed April 1, 1937.)

[fol. 117] The answer and cross bill of Chas. S. Coffey, receiver of the First National Bank was filed August 2, 1935, and is therefore barred. The answer and cross bill of Paul J. Kent, Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank was filed August 16, 1935 and is also barred.

(See memo opinion of Chancellor filed April 1, 1937.)

The statute of limitations having been pleaded to both claims.

4. On this item of reference the Master reports that Annie R. Nottingham wrongfully paid the following creditors in full:

Date	Check No.	Creditor	Amount Paid
5/1/29	1	Miss Margaret Waller.	\$60.00
5/1/29	2	Hamilton Nat'l. Bank of Chatta.	17,045.34
5/1/29	3	First Nat'l. Bank of Chatta.	93.55
5/1/29	4	"	21.24
5/1/29	5	American Trust & Banking Company	81.90
5/1/29	6	First Nat'l. Bank of Chatta.	53,741.72
5/1/29	7	"	90.51
5/4/29	9	Central Trust Co.	15,080.00
5/4/29	11	Chattanooga Cadillac Co.	3.20
5/4/29	12	City Water Co.	4.30
5/4/29	13	Chamber of Commerce	6.25
5/4/29	17	Etheridge Tire Co.	3.75
5/4/29	21	Mountain City Club.	7.50
5/4/29	22	Rogers Bailey	3.35
5/4/29	23	Southeastern Oil Co.	30.68
5/4/29	24	"	
5/4/29	25	J. M. Shaw Co.	2.25
5/4/29	26	Postmaster	1.50
5/4/29	28	A. W. Taber	25.00
5/4/29	29	Tennessee Electric Power Co.	11.14
5/4/29	30	Western Union Tele. Co.	26.85
5/6/29	32	Dr. Raymond Wallace	239.00
5/6/29	33	Fifty Third Union Trust Company	139.02
5/6/29	34	First Nat'l. Bank of Chattanooga	37.50
5/ /29	36	W. A. Jeffords	100.00
5/20/29	37	First Nat'l. Bank of Chattanooga	189.03
[fol. 118]			
5/20/29	38	Hamilton Nat'l. Bank of Chattanooga.	91.00
5/20/29	39	Community Chest	100.00
5/20/29	40	Y. M. C. A.	50.00
5/27/29	45	Lookout Mt. Club	25.00
5/29/29	46	Mrs. C. F. Bearden	100.00
5/29/29	48	Chemical Nat'l. Bank	15,000.00
6/8/29	49	Dr. Chas. A. Waters	75.00
6/10/29	51	C. A. Noone	53.60
6/10/29	52	Hamilton Nat'l. Bank of Chattanooga.	6,000.00
6/17/29	53	Meadowbrook Golf Club	250.00
6/24/29	54	First Nat'l. Bank of Chattanooga	75.83
6/14/29	55	Seaboard Nat'l. Bank	30,000.00
7/1/29	56	Hamilton Nat'l. Bank of Chattanooga.	1,250.00
7/2/29	57	Dr. Hugh Young	1,000.00
7/2/29	58	Community Chest	100.00
7/5/29	59	Seaboard Nat'l. Bank	962.50
7/5/29	60	"	16,500.00
7/6/29	61	American Trust & Banking Co.	5,385.86
7/6/29	62	Hamilton Nat'l. Bank of Chattanooga.	5,958.00
7/6/29	63	First Nat'l. Bank of Chattanooga	1,394.16
7/6/29	64	"	4,934.16
7/10/29	65	Seaboard Nat'l. Bank	10.69
7/17/29	67	Fifty-Third-Union Trust Co.	10,000.00

Date	Check No.	Creditor	Amount Paid
7/17/29	68	First Nat'l. Bank of Chattanooga	12,395.14
7/19/29	71	Seaboard Nat'l. Bank	32,300.00
7/23/29	72	Olympia Development Co.	25.73
7/26/29	73	Geo. M. Clark	100.00
7/26/29	74	Title Guaranty & Trust Co.	15.00
7/26/29	75	August Hartkorn	50.00
7/26/29	76	Seaboard Nat'l. Bank	36,888.05
7/26/29	77	Meadowbrook Golf Club	50.00
7/26/29	78	First Title Guaranty & Abstract Co.	100.00
7/26/29	79	W. A. Jeffords	100.00
8/3/29	80	Olympia Development Co.	2,096.95
9/11/29	81	Fairyland Golf Club	54.00
10/4/29	84	Community Chest	100.00
[fol. 119]			
10/4/29	85	W. A. Jeffords	100.00
10/9/29	86	First Nat'l. Bank of Chattanooga	240.00
10/19/29	87	Chattanooga-Lookout Mountain Park	250.00
12/10/29	97	Porter Allen Co.	127.00
4/1/30	110	First Nat'l. Bank of Chattanooga	240.00
4/1/30	111	"	175.00
4/9/30	113	Y. W. C. A.	50.00
4/14/30	115	First Nat'l. Bank of Chattanooga	8,017.33
5/1/30	117	"	4,125.33
5/14/31	137	Y. M. C. A.	50.00
5/21/32	158	"	50.00

These accounts total..... \$264,112.42

And has wrongfully retained for her own use the following items:

Jan. 2, 1931	Amount paid for real estate bid in by by Mr. Lawman for Mrs. Nottingham, but paid for by Estate check # 126	\$2,688.63
Mar. 1933	Participation Certificate Interest	24.75
April, 1933	Liberty Bond Coupon	2.12
April, 1933	Tenn. Elec. Power Co. Dividend	1.25
April, 1933	Davenport dividend	93.75
	Liberty Bond Sold	102.98
June, 1933	Fairyland Coupon	5.00
June, 1933	Forest Hills	15.00
July, 1933	Davenport Dividend	93.75
July, 1933	Tenn. Elec. Power Co. Dividend	1.25
July 28, 1933	Proceeds Sale of 750 Shares Davenport stock	9,210.00
August, 1933	Part of 40% Savings Account Dividend	10,947.00
	Proceeds 53 Shares O. B. Andrews Stock	686.88
Sept. 14, 1933	Participation Certificates	1,100.00
Sept. 16, 1933	Balance due on J. B. Pound and R. J. MacLellan notes	4,711.16
1934	Tenn. Electric Power Co. Dividend	5.00

[fol. 120]

Date	Check No.	Creditor	Amount Paid
Dec. 6, 1934		Forest Hills Cemetery Coupon	30.00
1929		Automobile	29,718.52 1,500.00
1934		Sale Forest Hill Cemetery Bond	31,218.52 500.00
			<u>\$31,718.52</u>

In making said payments-in full the Executrix advanced the sum of	\$76,370.00
(See her deposition and statement Exhibit to above answer)	
and repaid to herself the sum of	\$43,532.70
leaving	<u>\$32,838.30</u>
which should be deducted from the total amount paid to creditors in order to arrive at per cent paid to creditors by the estate, viz:	
Total amount paid by Executrix to creditors	\$264,112.42
Less amount advanced by Executrix	<u>32,838.30</u>
	\$231,274.12

From the above, the percentage of over-payment can be easily figured when the total remaining assets of the estate of C. C. Nottingham are figured, and prior charges deducted.

Respectfully submitted, R. B. Cooke, Special Master.

This 21st Aug., 1940.

[fol. 121] IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

[Title omitted]

ORDER ON EXCEPTIONS TO REPORT OF SPECIAL MASTER—
Enrolled September 11, 1940

In this cause it appearing to the Court that a report in this cause was filed on August 21, 1940 by the Special Master; and it further appearing that a motion was made on Saturday, September 7, 1940, to confirm said report, and there being no exceptions to said motion, the Court made an

order to confirm same; and it appearing to the Court that said order has not been enrolled, though it has been filed, and it further appearing to the Court that Major Phil B. Whitaker, Solicitor for Paul J. Kent, Receiver, was interested in the case for said Kent, and it further appearing to the Court that said Major Whitaker had been excused from court to the 26th day of August, and had been excused for one month, and had had no notice of the action;

It is ordered by the Court that said decree be not entered for the present and the cause be remanded to the rules so that exceptions to said report may be made in behalf of Paul J. Kent, Receiver, if desired, and five days will be allowed [fols. 122-123] within which to make such exceptions.

IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

[Title omitted]

ORDER SETTING ASIDE DECREE CONFIRMING REPORT OF SPECIAL MASTER INsofar AS J. BUCKNER FISHER, RECEIVER, IS CONCERNED, ALLOWING FIVE DAYS TO FILE EXCEPTIONS—Enrolled September 12, 1940

In this cause it appearing to the Court that a report of the Special Master was filed on August 21st, 1940, and that a motion to confirm said report was made on Saturday, September 7th, 1940, following which, there being no exceptions to the motion, the Court made an order to confirm the same; and it further appearing that said order has not been enrolled although filed; and it further appearing that no notice of the filing of the Special Master's report or the motion to confirm same was given to S. Bartow Strang, Solicitor for J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the First National Bank, or to the Receiver; and

The order to confirm the Master's report having been set aside at the instance of Paul J. Kent, Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank, and five days allowed within which to file exceptions, it is accordingly ordered that the order confirming the Special Master's report is likewise set aside insofar as J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the First National Bank is concerned, and he is allowed five days within which to except to the Special Master's report.

• • • • • • •

[fol. 124] IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

EXCEPTIONS OF J. BUCKNER FISHER, RECEIVER, TO REPORT OF
SPECIAL MASTER—Filed September 14, 1940

Exceptions of the defendant, J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver, successor to Charles S. Coffey, Receiver of the First National Bank to the report of the Special Master filed in this cause on August 21st, 1940, under an order of Court permitting exceptions to be filed within five days from September 12th, 1940;

First: The Master, under the third head of his report (page- 3 and 4) disallows the claim of the defendant Receiver of the First National Bank in the amount of \$138,000.00 with interest thereon, the same being a claim for a 100% stock assessment on the stock in the First National Bank held by the complainant as executrix on the grounds that this claim is barred by the Statute of Limitations, and the Master cites as his authority for the disallowance of this claim the memorandum opinion of the Court filed April 1, 1937.

[fols. 125-126] The memorandum opinion filed by the Court was a memorandum holding that the demurrer to the defendant's cross-bill filed by O. B. Wunschow, Administrator, a creditor of the estate, should be dismissed for the reason that the said O. B. Wunschow, Administrator, had not then established his status as a creditor of the estate, but the said opinion permitted the said Wunschow, Administrator, to set up this defense of the Statute of Limitations in an answer to the cross-bill. This answer has been filed but the Court has taken no action to dispose of the defense of the Statute of Limitations raised by said answer and the master was, therefore, without authority to decide this question of law.

This defendant insists that this claim is not barred by the Statute of Limitations pleaded by the said Wunschow, Administrator, or by any other creditor; that the statutes pleaded have no application to this claim, and further, that the only Statute of Limitations applicable to the claim is either, first, the six year Statute of Limitations with reference to the contracts, and further, that the defendant filed this suit within six years from the time his claim arose, or, second, that the only other applicable statute would be Section 8608 of the Code, which permits the filing of suits on claims which had not matured until after the qualification of

the personal representative within eighteen months from the time the cause of action accrued. The record shows that the claim of the Receiver of the First National Bank was filed within eighteen months from the date the stock assessment was first levied.

Wherefore, this defendant excepts to said report and appeals therefrom to the Court.

S. Bartow Strang, Solicitor for Receiver, First National Bank.

[fol: 127] IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

STIPULATION—Filed November 6, 1940

It is stipulated by and between the parties hereto that the following facts are true:

(1) J. Buckner Fisher is the duly appointed and qualified Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, having succeeded Charles S. Coffey, one of the defendants in this cause.

(2) On April 19th, 1934, the Comptroller of the Currency of the United States levied an assessment against each and every share of the capital stock of said First National Bank for 100% of the par value thereof, payable by the holders of said stock at the office of the Receiver on May 23rd, 1934. On May 17th, 1934, by instructions from the Comptroller of the Currency of the United States, the date for payment of said assessment was extended, subject to further order, and on June 19th, 1934 the time of payment was further extended by order of the Comptroller of the Currency until June 26th, 1934. On June 22nd, 1934, the time of payment was again extended by the Comptroller of the Currency of the United States subject to further order. On March 11th, 1935, the time of payment of said assessment was further extended to April 15th, 1935, to bear interest at 6% from that date. Pursuant to said order of assessment, Charles S. Coffey, then Receiver of the First National Bank, on March 13th, 1935, gave

notice of said assessment to each and every stockholder of the First National Bank, including the complainant in this cause.

Charles A. Noone, Solicitor for Complainant. S. Bartow Strang, Solicitor for Cross-Complainant Chas. S. Coffey, Receiver. P. H. Thach, Solicitor for Cross-Complainant Wunschow, Administrator. Joe Frassrand, Solicitor for Cross-Complainant McKenzie, Receiver.

IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

MEMO OF CHANCELLOR—Filed November 20, 1940

This cause is before the Court on exceptions to the report of the Special Master, Mr. R. B. Cooke, on the order of reference.

In his report, Item 3, the Special Master held as follows:

“The claims of Paul J. Kent, Receiver, and Charles S. Coffey, Receiver, are disallowed because barred by the statute of limitations.”

The exceptions which control in this cause are number two of Paul J. Kent, Receiver, and number one of J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver, successor to Charles S. Coffey, Receiver. They are in substantially the same words.

The Special Master, under the third head of his report, (pages 3 and 4) disallows the claim of the defendant receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank in the sum of \$13,800.00, with interest thereon, the same being a claim under a one hundred per cent stock-assessment on the stock [fol. 129] in the Chattanooga National Bank held by complainant as Executrix, on the grounds that this claim is barred by the statute of limitations, and cites as his authority for disallowance of this claim the memorandum of the Court filed on April 1, 1937.

Mr. C. C. Nottingham died in 1929, and at the time of his death was a large stockholder in the First National Bank. The First National Bank, on the 31st day of December, 1932, transferred its assets to the Chattanooga National Bank. The Chattanooga National Bank then proceeded to declare a stock dividend of twenty per cent to the stock-

holders of the ~~Chattanooga National Bank~~, and authorized the issuance of \$13,800.00 par value of the stock of the Chattanooga National Bank to Annie R. Nottingham, Executrix of the will of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, and widow of C. C. Nottingham, as her twenty per cent stock dividend against the stock held by C. C. Nottingham.

A meeting was called and Mrs. Nottingham signed a proxy to F. A. Nelson and S. B. Strang to represent her at the meeting, and they accepted, or attempted to accept for her, stock of the par value of \$13,800.00.

It is stipulated that the Comptroller of the Currency, on April 20, 1934, levied an assessment against all the stockholders of the Chattanooga National Bank for one hundred per cent of the par value of each and every share, payable at the office of the Receiver on or before May 28, 1934. On January 26, 1935, the Comptroller of the Currency amended his assessment upon the shareholders, extending the date of payment to March 5, 1935.

Pursuant to said order of assessment, Paul J. Kent, Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank, in January, 1935, gave notice to the stockholders of the Chattanooga National Bank, including the complainant in this cause.

The stock certificate representing the \$13,800.00 was never issued, but the same was declared to the said Annie R. Nottingham as Executrix of the last will and testament of C. C. Nottingham, deceased.

C. C. Nottingham never owned this stock, nor any of the [fol. 130] stock in the Chattanooga National Bank, and the declaration of the dividend to the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, would be ineffective to charge that estate with an assessment thereon for the reason that the Executrix of the estate of C. C. Nottingham had no authority to purchase stock for the estate. In this matter no liability could be created by the act of Mrs. Nottingham against the Nottingham estate that did not exist during his life time.

"The authority of an executor does not ordinarily extend to the making of any contracts binding the estate."

Pritchard on Wills, Section 655, and cases cited.

"The general duties of an executor or administrator are to bury the decedent, collect his effects, preserve them from waste, pay claims against the estate and distribute the residue, if any, among those entitled, and to do all things necessary as representative of the personal estate of the

decedent. His powers are controlled by statute, and in the case of an executor or administrator with the will annexed, by the will; and he has no implied powers beyond those which are necessary to the exercise of the powers which are expressly conferred upon him."

23 C. J., Pages 1168-69.

"An executor or administrator has no power to bind the estate of which he is the representative by his individual contracts nor can he impose any liability on the assets of the estate through such contracts. This is true notwithstanding the fact that such contracts are for the benefit of the estate; and it is immaterial how clearly the intent to bind the estate may be expressed. The contracts of an executor or administrator cannot be regarded as in any sense the contracts of the decedent. The principle is that an executor may disburse and use the funds of the estate for purposes authorized by law, but may not bind the estate [fol. 131] by an executory contract, and thus create a liability not founded upon a contract or obligation of the testator."

11 R. C. L., Page 165, Sec. 176.

In the case of *Rich, Executor vs. Sowles*, 64 Vermont, 403, the Court said:

"1. An administrator cannot contract a debt against the estate which he is administering.

"2. If a writ and declaration run against A, administrator of B's estate, the writ is against A personally, and not against the estate, for the words 'administrator of B's estate' are merely descriptio personae and might be rejected as surplusage.

"3. So a judgment, following such a writ and declaration, against A 'as administrator' is not a judgment against the estate, but against A personally."

In the case of *Lucht, Adm'r. vs. Behrens*, 28 Ohio State, 231, the Court said:

"3. When by the will all the estate, real and personal, is devised subject only to the payment of debts, the devisees, as well as the creditors, have an interest in the estate, that

can not be defeated or encumbered by debts contracted by the executor, not authorized by the will."

In the case of *Bauerle vs. Long, Adm'r.*, 187, Ill. 475, the Court held that an executor authorized by will to sell real estate had no implied power to bind the estate by warranty deed, but only to convey whatever title the testator had, and no action can be maintained against him in his representative capacity for breach of an executory contract to make a warranty deed.

In the case of *Sumner, adm'r. vs. Williams*, 8 Mass., 162, the Court held that though authorized by will to sell real estate, they were not authorized to make a warranty deed, and that on failure of the title no action would lie against [fol. 132] him as administrator, but if action brought at all it would be against him personally.

The Court, is therefore, of opinion that the executrix, Mrs. Nottingham, was acting without authority as such executrix under the will of her husband in an attempt to bind the estate in such manner as to create an indebtedness against the estate, and that the receiver has no right of recovery against Mrs. Nottingham as executrix because of the ownership of the stock for the reason that the stock that was attempted to be assessed was never the property of the testator.

Insofar as the report of the Special Master refers to the assessment of \$13,800.00 against Mrs. Nottingham, as executrix, is concerned, the report of the Special Master will be confirmed.

This memorandum is with reference to the claim of J. Buckner Fisher, successor Receiver to Charles S. Coffey, Receiver.

On August 2, 1935, the said Charles S. Coffey, Receiver, filed an answer and cross-bill in the above cause, and in the cross-bill brought suit for \$138,000.00 with interest thereon from April 15, 1935, against Mrs. Nottingham as executrix of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased. This claim arose by reason of the ownership of C. C. Nottingham of stock in the First National Bank.

There is a stipulation of facts filed in this cause which shows that on April 19, 1934, the Comptroller of the Currency levied an assessment against the stock of C. C. Nottingham and others of one hundred percent, and it is charged that the said Nottingham, deceased, was the owner of \$138,000.00 of the capital stock of the First National Bank.

This stipulation of facts shows that this assessment was made on April 19, 1934, and that it was payable on May 23, 1934, and that on May 17, 1934, by instructions from the Comptroller, the time of payment was continued, subject to further order, and on June 19, 1934, the time of payment was further extended by the Comptroller to June 26, 1934. On June 22, 1934, the Comptroller extended the payment, subject to further order, and on March 11, 1935, the time of payment of said assessment was further extended to [fol. 133] April 15, 1935, and to bear interest from that date.

At the time of the death of Mr. Nottingham, 1929, the statute of limitations with reference to unmatured claims against the estates of decedents was the statute contained in Sections 4012 and 4481 of Shannon's Code. Section 4012 does not contain a separate reference as to unmatured claims, but Section 4481 does contain this clause with reference to unmatured claims. It provides that all claims maturing in the lifetime of the decedent should be brought within two and three years from the qualification of the representative, "or otherwise from the time the cause of action accrued". This cause of action did not accrue until May 23, 1934, which was after the death of Mr. Nottingham, but after his death and before the maturity of said cause of action the statute of limitations was changed as set out in Section 8225 of the Code so as to provide that suit should be brought within six months after the cause of action accrued, and it is insisted by the creditors who filed the exceptions that Section 8225 applied. The Special Master also so reported, and the cause was barred for that reason.

The Court has not been able to find a case on all-fours with this proposition, but after careful consideration and much research the Court has reached the conclusion that the rights of creditors with unmatured claims was fixed at the date of the death of Nottingham in 1929, and that, therefore, it is controlled by Sections 4012 and 4481 of Shannon's Code, and that the Receiver had a right to bring the suit within two years from the accrual of the action, on May 23, 1934, and since suit was brought within that time the Court is of opinion that the Receiver has a right to recover against the estate of C. C. Nottingham the amount of the assessment, with interest thereon from the filing of the cross-bill. The report of the Special Master will be so amended, and as amended will be confirmed.

[fol. 134] IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

PETITION OF GEORGE C. MCKENZIE FOR REHEARING—Filed
November 26, 1940, (Re-filed December 5, 1940)

In this cause, George C. McKenzie, etc., comes and upon his own and upon behalf of all legal creditors, respectfully petition the Honorable Court to rehear the objections and defenses raised to the claim of J. Buckner Fisher, and Charles S. Coffey, Receivers of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, for the reason that the Court erred in refusing to hold that said claim in the sum of \$138,000.00 was barred by the statute of limitations.

In support of this petition a brief is hereto attached as Exhibit "A" setting forth the reasons, and a brief of the law on the subject.

Premises considered, petitioner upon his own and upon behalf of all legitimate creditors of the Estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, pray that the Honorable Court rehear and reconsider the question of the application of the statute of limitations as to this alleged creditor's claim in the sum of \$138,000.00, and reverse its former decision and hold that the claim of J. Buckner Fisher (formerly C. S. Coffey) Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga is barred by said statute of limitations, and for general relief.

Joe Frassrand, Solicitor for Creditors-petitioners.

[fol. 135] IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

[Title omitted]

DECREE CONFIRMING REPORT OF SPECIAL MASTER AND ALLOWING PETITION TO REHEAR—Withdrawn and Refiled, Enrolled December 5, 1940

This cause came on to be heard by the Honorable J. Lon Foust on this day upon the whole record in the cause, and especially upon the report of the Honorable R. B. Cooke, Special Master, and upon the exceptions filed to the Special Master's report by J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the First National Bank, and by J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank, and upon argument being

heard by counsel for the parties, and from a consideration of the whole record, it is, therefore, decreed as follows:

1. That the exceptions filed by J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the First National Bank, be sustained, and that said Receiver be allowed to participate in the estate of C. C. Nottingham now being wound up in these proceedings in the sum of \$138,000.00, with interest from the date of April 15th, 1935, amounting to \$46,851.00, making a total of \$184,851.00.

2. It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the exceptions filed by J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank, be and the same are in all things overruled.

3. That with the exceptions of the allowance of the claim of J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the First National Bank, the report of the Special Master is in all other respects confirmed.

In event of appeals the memorandum of the Court, filed the 20th day of November, 1940, will be made a part of the record in this cause.

Since it appears by the record that the claim of Elizabeth Dilley has been compromised for the sum of \$250.00, and has been paid to her as appears from the execution docket, she will not further participate in the funds to be administered.

And it further appearing that there is at present in the hands of J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank, the sum of \$12,936.61 which belongs to the [fol. 136] estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, the said J. Buckner Fisher, as Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank, is hereby ordered and directed to forthwith pay such funds into the registry of this Court; and a decree is rendered against him accordingly, and he is further ordered and directed to pay any future dividends accruing to the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased, into the registry of this Court or to S. W. Alley, Administrator pendente lite, as such dividends are payable in the administration of the assets of the Chattanooga National Bank and in the administration of the First National Bank assets.

And to the action of the Court in sustaining the exceptions of J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the First National Bank, to the claim in the principal sum of \$138,000.00 and in the allowance thereof, the creditors, O. B. Wunschow, Exec-

utor of the Estate of Mildred W. Williams, deceased, and George C. McKenzie, Commissioner and Receiver, etc., and S. W. Alley, Admr. pen. lite, and Miss Louise Whiton, Executrix of Annie R. Nottingham's Estate, duly excepted.

And to the action of the Court in refusing to sustain the exceptions and each ground thereof of J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank, and in allowing a recovery of \$12,936.61, and requiring the Receiver to pay future dividends going to the C. C. Nottingham Estate into Court, the said Receiver, Fisher, duly excepted.

And a petition to rehear the action of the Court on account of the allowance of the claim of J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the First National Bank, in the sum of \$138,000.00 and interest, filed by O. B. Wunschow, Executor of the Estate of Mildred W. Williams, deceased, and joined in by George C. McKenzie, Commissioner and Receiver, etc., and the same coming up for consideration on motion, the Court holds and so orders that said application to rehear is premature, but the Court allows said petitioners to withdraw said petition to rehear and re-file it after the entry of this order.

And until the Court will have acted upon said petition to rehear, if re-filed, all other matters are reserved.

.

[fol. 137] .IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

[Title omitted]

MEMO OF CHANCELLOR—Filed January 22, 1941

This cause is before the Court on a petition to rehear under the claim of J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver. On November 20, 1940, the Court filed a memorandum in this cause, but in order to make this matter clear and separate it from the claim of Paul J. Kent, Receiver, the Court will restate the facts.

Mr. C. C. Nottingham died in 1929, and at the time of his death was a large stockholder in the First National Bank. The First National Bank, on December 31, 1932, transferred its assets to the Chattanooga National Bank.

On August 2, 1935, Charles S. Coffey, Receiver of the First National Bank, filed an answer and cross-bill in this cause, and in the cross-bill brought suit for \$138,000.00,

with interest thereon from April 15, 1935, against Mrs. Nottingham as executrix of the estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased. This claim arose by reason of the ownership by Mr. C. C. Nottingham of stock in the First National Bank, and was an assessment made by the Comptroller on stock [fol. 138] owned by Mr. C. C. Nottingham at the time of his death of 100 per cent.

There is a stipulation of facts filed in this cause which shows that on April 19, 1934 the Comptroller of the Currency levied an assessment against the stock of C. C. Nottingham and others of one hundred per cent; and it is charged that C. C. Nottingham, deceased, was the owner of \$138,000.00 of the capital stock of the First National Bank. This stipulation of facts shows that this assessment was made on April 19, 1934, and that it was made payable by order of the Comptroller on May 23, 1934; that on May 17, 1934, by instructions from the Comptroller the time of payment was continued, subject to further order, and on June 19, 1934, the time of payment was further extended by the Comptroller to June 26, 1934. On June 22, 1934, the Comptroller extended the payment "subject to further order" and on March 11, 1935, the time of payment of said assessment was extended to April 15, 1935, and to bear interest from that date.

As hereinbefore stated, the cross-bill in this Cause was filed on August 2, 1935. At the time of the death of Mr. Nottingham, in 1929, the statute of limitations with reference to unmatured claims against the estates of decedents was the statute contained in Sections 4012 and 4481 of Shannon's Code of Tennessee. Said Section 4481 contains a clause with reference to unmatured claims and provides that all claims maturing in the life time of the decedent should be brought within two years from the qualification of the personal representative, or from the time the cause of action accrued. The cause of action in this case did not accrue until May 23, 1934, which was after the death of Mr. Nottingham. After the death of Mr. Nottingham, and before the accrual of said cause of action, the statute of limitations was changed and set out in Section 8225 of the Code so as to provide as follows:

"Section 8225. Creditors to Sue, When.—The creditors of deceased persons, whether the former live within or without this state, shall, within eighteen months (which

period shall be deemed to include the six months protective period) from the qualification of the executor or administrator, filed with the latter their accounts, demands and [fol. 139] claims, that are matured or accrued causes of action at the date of such qualification, and bring suit for the recovery thereof, or be forever barred. As to account, demands and claims not so matured or accrued, the period allowable before bar is six months from the date the cause of action thereon accrued."

It was insisted by the creditors who filed exceptions to the report of the Special Master that said Section 8225 applied, and the Special Master also so reported.

In ruling on said exceptions the Court reached the conclusion that "The rights of creditors with unmatured claims was fixed at the date of the death of Mr. Nottingham in 1929, and that, therefore, it is controlled by Sections 4012 and 4481 of Shannon's Code, and that the Receiver had a right to bring the suit within two years from the accrual of the action, on May 23, 1934, and since suit was brought within that time the Court is of opinion that the Receiver has a right to recover against the estate of C. C. Nottingham, the amount of the assessment, with interest thereon from the filing of the cross-bill, and the report of the Special Master will be so amended, and as amended will be confirmed."

It was on this ruling that the creditors who brought the suit excepted, and a motion to rehear was made.

On argument of the motion, and further consideration by the Court, the Court is now of opinion that it was in error in applying Sections 4012 and 4481 with a two year limitation after the accrual of the right of action in this cause and giving judgment.

The Court has reached the conclusion that the statute of limitations of six months, being Sections 8225 of the Code, applies to the right to bring the suit, and that the suit was not brought within the six months, and, therefore, the right of action under the cross-bill filed by Coffey, Receiver, was barred by said six months statute of limitations, which was pleaded.

The Court is of the opinion that the right of action [fol. 140] accrued at the time first fixed by the Comptroller on May 23, 1934, and not at a future time as insisted by the

Receiver. It was so held in the case of Coffey, Receiver vs. Fisher in the Court of Appeals of the Sixth Circuit. This cause was brought in the Federal Court at Chattanooga and appealed to the Court of Appeals at Cincinnati.

The Court is of opinion that the holding in the above case is correct, and further feels that it is bound by that decision. The suit was not brought within six months from May 23, 1934, which was more than a year after the accrual of the cause of action.

"In the absence of any provision in the Act of Congress creating the double liability of stockholders of National Banks fixing a period of limitation within which actions for its enforcement must be brought, the statute of limitations in the State where the suit is brought governs, so far as applicable."

Rankin v. Miller, 207 Fed. 610;

McClaine v. Rankin, 197 U. S. 154.

J. Buckner Fisher was a creditor in the sense referred to in the statute of limitations.

"The obligation of a subscriber to stock, to contribute to the amount of his subscription for the purpose of payment of debts, is contractual, and arises from the subscription to the stock. True, whether there is to be a call for the performance of this obligation depends on whether it becomes necessary to do so in consequence of the happening of insolvency. But the obligation to respond is engendered by and relates to the contract from which it arises. This contract obligation, existing during life, is not extinguished by death, but like other contract obligations survives and is enforceable against the estate of the stockholder."

Rankin v. Miller, 207 Fed. 611.

[fol. 141] As before stated, the Court has concluded that the right of action set up in the cross-bill of Coffey, Receiver, and succeeded by J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver, is barred by the statute of limitations of six months, as provided by Section 8225 of the Tennessee Code, and that the cross-complainant cannot recover on this assessment.

The costs incident to the cross-bill will be paid by the Receiver.

J. L. Foust, Chancellor.

IN CHANCERY COURT OF HAMILTON COUNTY

[Title omitted]

DECREE ON PETITION TO REHEAR, APPEAL PRAYED AND GRANTED J. BUCKNER FISHER, RECEIVER—Enrolled January 27, 1941.

This cause came on to be heard by the Honorable J. Lon Foust, Chancellor, upon the petition of George C. McKenzie, Commissioner, etc., to rehear the order heretofore entered wherein the Court sustained the exceptions of J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, in which order the Court allowed the claim of the said J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver, in the sum of \$138,000.00, with interest, and the court after a consideration of the petition to rehear, the briefs filed by the parties, the stipulations filed in the record, the proof introduced, and the entire record, from all of which the Court concludes that he was in error in sustaining the exceptions of J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, the report of the Special Master heretofore appointed, and from a consideration of all of which the Court does hereby order, adjudge and decree:

That the petition to rehear be and the same hereby is sustained, and the former order entered on the 5th day of December, 1940, in so far as decreeing that the exceptions filed by J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the First National Bank, be sustained and said Receiver be allowed to participate in the Estate of C. C. Nottingham now being wound up in these proceedings in the sum of \$138,000.00, with interest from the date of April 15th, 1935, amounting to \$46,851.00, making a total of \$184,851.00, be and the same is hereby reconsidered and so much of said order as provided above, be and the same is hereby vacated, set aside, and for nothing held, and said decree modified so as to disallow said claim of J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the First National Bank, and in such respects the report of the Special Master is hereby confirmed, the Court being of the opinion that said claim is and was at the time that the Receiver of the First National Bank was made a party to this lawsuit, and at the time that C. S. Coffey, then Receiver, filed a cross-bill, that said Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga and his successor thereafter, was barred by the statute of limitations.

And to the action of the Court in sustaining the petition to rehear, and in overruling the exceptions filed by J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the First National Bank, and in disallowing said claim, the said J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the First National Bank, duly excepted and prayed an appeal to the next term of the Court of Appeals at Knoxville, which appeal is granted upon his giving sufficient appeal bond, and twenty (20) days will be allowed in which to file said bond.

It is further ordered that in the event of an appeal by said J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the First National Bank, the memorandum of the Court entered on the 22nd day of January, 1941, will be made a part of the record in this cause.

All costs incident to the cross-bill of C. S. Coffey, Receiver of the First National Bank, and succeeded by J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver, will be taxed against said Receiver, and the surety on the cost bond, Chas. C. Moore, for which execution may issue.

All other matters not herein or hereinbefore adjudicated, are reserved.

[fols. 168-172] IN COURT OF APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

ASSIGNMENTS OF ERROR—Filed May 13, 1941

Now comes J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of The First National Bank of Chattanooga, Appellant in this cause, and assigns the following errors:

I

The Chancellor erred in ruling and holding that the claim of J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of The First National Bank for \$138,000.00 together with interest from April 15, 1935, is barred by the Statute of Limitations.

The date on which the amended assessment became due and payable was April 15, 1935. (tr. 128) The cross-bill by which suit was brought for the collection of this assessment and interest was filed August 2, 1935. (tr. 6) The suit was therefore filed three months and seventeen days after the assessment was made payable and suit could be maintained thereon.

The Supreme Court of the United States has specifically held that the Statute of Limitations begins to run from the

date the assessment is made payable.—*Rawlings v. Ray*, 85 Law Edition, 487, 488. (Feb. 3, 1941.)

II

It was error for the Chancellor to sustain the petition to rehear and overrule the exceptions of The First National Bank of Chattanooga to the Master's report and disallow the claim of J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of The First National Bank.

[fol. 173] IN COURT OF APPEALS OF TENNESSEE, EASTERN SECTION

Chancery Cause No. 3, Hamilton County

ANNIE R. NOTTINGHAM, Exrx., Complainant, Appellee,
versus

PAUL J. KENT; Receiver, et al., Defendants, Appellants

Hon. J. Lon Foust, Chancellor, Affirmed.

Decided August 9, 1941

Strang, Fletcher & Carriger of Chattanooga for the Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga.

Whitaker, Hall, Haynes & Allison of Chattanooga for Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank.

Spudlock, Spears & Noone, Joe Frassrand, all of Chattanooga, and J. Roy Hickerson of Winchester, for Annie R. Nottingham, Exrx., and Creditors.

OPINION—Filed Aug. 9, 1941

(McAmis, J.)

This is an insolvency proceeding involving the estate of C. C. Nottingham who died testate in Hamilton County, Tennessee, on April 6, 1929. The widow, Annie R. Nottingham, was appointed and qualified as executrix under his will. Pending the suit and without having testified as a witness, Annie R. Nottingham also died and the cause was revived in the name of her personal representative.

The controversy upon this appeal involves the liability of the estate for \$138,000.00 arising out of the double liability of C. C. Nottingham as a stockholder in the First

[fol. 174] National Bank of Chattanooga and \$13,800.00 assessed against his estate by reason of stock alleged to have been acquired by his executrix in the Chattanooga National Bank in 1934. The Chancellor held that both claims were barred by the six months limitation period prescribed by Code, Section 8225. This is the principal question to be determined here in respect to the claim of the receiver of the First National Bank. As to the claim of the receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank, the Chancellor found that the Executrix was without authority to acquire stock in a National Bank and thereby incur for the estate the contingent liability for a double assessment.

The pertinent facts as stipulated are as follows:

On April 19, 1934 the Comptroller of the Currency levied a 100% assessment against the stockholders of the First National Bank. On the following day a like assessment was levied against the stockholders of the Chattanooga National Bank. The assessment against the stockholders of the First National Bank was to become payable on May 23, 1934 and that against the stockholders of the Chattanooga National Bank on May 28, 1934. No further action was taken in respect to the assessment against the stockholders of the Chattanooga National Bank until January 26, 1935 when "the Comptroller of the Currency amended his assessment upon the share holders extending the time of payment until the 5th of March, 1935."

However, before May 23, 1934, the date fixed for payment the Comptroller extended the date for payment to June 19, 1934 when it was again extended by order of the Comptroller until June 26, 1934. On June 22, 1934 the time of payment was again extended by the Comptroller "subject to further order." On March 11, 1935, the time of payment was extended to April 15, 1935. This was the last extension and suit was instituted within six months thereafter.

[fol. 175] The state statute of limitations is applicable to claims for double assessment upon stock of a National Bank. *McDonald v. Thompson*, 184 U. S. 71, 72; *McClaine v. Rankins*, 197 U. S. 154, 158; *Rawlings, Receiver, etc. v. Ray* — U. S. — decided October term 1940. Since the claims had not matured at the date of the death of C. C. Nottingham or at the date of the qualification of his Executrix, the six months limitation period prescribed by Section 8225 is applicable unless, as strenuously insisted by counsel for the Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank,

the double assessment upon stock in that bank is to be treated as a claim against the Executrix, as such, rather than a claim against the estate of C. C. Nottingham. Putting this question aside for the time being, we consider first the question of when the right of action for the double assessment upon stock of both banks became a mature, complete and assertable cause of action.

Section 8604 of the Code provides that the limitation period commences from the time of the plaintiff's right to make the demand and not from the date of the demand. This statute was held applicable in *Coffey, Receiver, v. Fisher*, (U. S. C. C. A.) 100 Fed. Rep. (2nd) 51 involving the identical extensions here under consideration. It was held that the statute began to run from the date of the assessment and that the various extensions of time for payment did not toll the statute.

The holding in *Coffey v. Fisher*, *supra*, that the statute begins to run from the date of the assessment rather than from the date fixed for payment by the Comptroller was disapproved by the United States Supreme Court in *Rawlings vs. Ray*, *supra*. It is earnestly insisted in behalf of appellants that the effect of *Rawlings v. Ray* is to overrule, in its entirety, the holding of the Circuit Court of Appeals in *Coffey v. Fisher*, *supra*.

[fol. 176] We do not think the holding in the *Rawlings* case is to be given the effect urged. The opinion defines the question to be determined as follows:

"The question is whether the statute began to run on the date of the assessment, as held by the court below, or on the date fixed for payment." *Rawlings v. Ray*, *supra*.

The question of the effect of successive extensions upon the running of the limitation period, the question ultimately determined by the Circuit Court of Appeals in *Coffey v. Fisher*, *supra*, was not open for consideration either under the facts of that case or the Court's statement of the issues presented. It is significant that *Coffey v. Fisher*, was not cited as one of the cases causing the conflict which impelled the Court to grant certiorari. The rationale of that case that "the various extensions of time of payment should not be regarded as tolling of the statute because it is of vital importance that estates be closed speedily", therefore, remains unimpaired by the holding in the *Rawlings* case.

We agree with the Chancellor that the reason assigned in *Coffey v. Fisher* for holding the statute not tolled by

such extensions is sound, especially where, as in this case, there is nothing to indicate that the extensions were granted at the instance of the stockholder whose estate is being administered. As held in *Pufahl v. Estate of Parks*, 299 U. S. 217, the obligation of a stockholder becomes absolute when the Comptroller makes the assessment and this is sufficient to support an action at common law against a living stockholder or the executor of a deceased stockholder. It is true, of course, as held in the *Rawlings* case that the Comptroller cannot later obviate the self-imposed restriction upon the exercise of the right of enforcement of the claim in instituting an action prior to the date fixed for payment. This is only to require of the Comptroller the exercise of honesty and fair play. It is quite a different thing to say that the Comptroller can, by successive extensions, without the consent of the executor stop the running of the limitation [fol. 177] period to which all other creditors are subject. The right to fix the later date for payment, though recognized in the *Rawlings* case, is only an implied right and should not be so extended as to embarrass and delay personal representatives in administering decedent estates. The policy of our statute law has been to shorten the period within which claims must be filed against decedent estates. In the case of obligations not due such claims must be filed within six months after maturity. In this case, by the process of granting extensions, the Receiver is attempting to assert a claim which was "rendered absolute" almost twice this period before suit was instituted. To sustain the contention of the Receiver would enable the Comptroller to set at naught a limitation upon the right to sue applicable to all other creditors. As held in *Pufahl v. Estate of Parks*, supra, the Comptroller's order making the assessment converted a contingent obligation into one that became "absolute" and enforceable from that date subject alone to the waiting period which was appurtenant to, and a part of the order of assessment.

The well established rule is that when some preliminary action is prerequisite to the institution of suit and the right to take such action rests with the claimant, the operation of the statute of limitations cannot be defeated by failure to act or by long and unnecessary delay in taking the antecedent step.

"It is not the policy of the law to permit a party against whom the statute runs to defeat its operation by neglecting

to do an act which devolves upon him in order to perfect his remedy against another. If this were so, a party would have it in his power to defeat the purpose of the statute in all cases of this character. If, however, a liability exists which is not absolute, and there is no way by which it can be determined whether it will ever assume that character, it is held to be contingent and not affected by the statutes of non-claim regarding the filing of claims against the estates of deceased persons." 17 R. C. L. 756.

[fol. 178] Applying these principles to the claims here under consideration, we are forced to conclude that the Chancellor was correct in holding that a cause of action accrued more than six months prior to the institution of suit.

There seems to be no question that the six months period prescribed by Code, Section 8225, applies to the claim of the Receiver of the First National Bank but, as indicated above, it is insisted that as to the claim of the Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank Section 8608 allowing eighteen months for filing claims which accrue after the death of the deceased person applies. The basis and theory of this contention is that the acquisition of the stock in the Chattanooga National Bank was an act of the Executrix creating a new contract distinct and independent of any obligation incurred by the deceased during his lifetime.

The facts in this connection are that, in the course of reorganizing the First National Bank, stock of the Chattanooga National Bank was ordered issued in payment of a dividend upon stocks of the First National Bank. It is seriously to be doubted upon the record before us whether the Executrix was a party to the reorganization or assented to the acceptance of stock in the Chattanooga National Bank in payment of the dividend to which the Nottingham estate was entitled.

But, whatever the facts in this connection, under the authorities cited in the Chancellor's opinion, it is clear that if the issuance of stock of the Chattanooga National Bank be treated as a transaction separate and distinct from any obligation incurred or right accruing to the deceased during his lifetime, the Executrix was without any authority to foist upon the creditors and distributees of the estate the double liability now asserted by the Receiver. The facts in reference to the reorganization of the First National Bank and the issuance of stock in the Chattanooga National

[fol. 179] Bank to represent dividends accrued upon the stock of the First National Bank are not fully developed. Particularly, there is no showing that the Executrix could not have received the dividend in cash if she had elected to do so without impairing the investment in stock of the First National Bank. We think the facts distinguish the present case from *Young v. Phillips* 170 Tenn., 169.

We reach the conclusion that the Chancellor was correct in holding the estate not liable for double assessment upon the stock of the Chattanooga National Bank.

For the reasons indicated, we think both claims must be disallowed and it results that the assignments will be overruled and the decree of the Chancellor affirmed.

(S.) McAmis, Judge.

[fol. 186] IN COURT OF APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

ANNIE R. NOTTINGHAM, Executrix,

vs.

PAUL J. KENT, Receiver, et al.

Affirmed.

DECREE—Aug. 9, 1941

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Chancery Court of Hamilton County, assignments of error, briefs and argument of counsel; and upon consideration thereof the Court is of opinion that there is no error in the decree of the Chancellor as shown in the opinion of the Court filed and made a part of the record in this cause, and for the reasons set forth in said opinion, the assignments of error are overruled, and the decree of the Chancellor is affirmed.

It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the decree of the Chancellor be, and the same is affirmed; and this cause is remanded to the Chancery Court of Hamilton County for the purpose of carrying out the Chancellor's decree.

The defendant, J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver Chattanooga National Bank, as receiver and not individually, and sureties Whitaker, Hall, Haynes, & Allison, will pay the costs of the appeal to this Court, for which let execution issue.

[fol. 181] IN SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE

ASSIGNMENTS OF ERROR—Filed September 20, 1941

I

The Court of Appeals erred in its opinion and decree in holding that the Receiver of The First National Bank of Chattanooga, in order to avoid the running of the Statute of Limitations, must bring suit on a bank stock assessment prior to the date on which the Comptroller of the Currency of the United States by valid order has declared said assessment payable.

II

The Court of Appeals erred in its opinion and decree in holding that petitioner's cause of action accrued prior to the date fixed by the Comptroller of the Currency of the United States for payment of the obligation sued on. (April 15, 1935).

III

The Court of Appeals erred in holding that the Receiver's claim on a bank stock assessment for the benefit of depositors and creditors of the national bank, is defeated by action of the Comptroller of the Currency of the United States (taken prior to arrival of the payment date previously fixed) changing the date for payment to a later date, unless the Receiver disregards the new payment date so fixed and brings suit before that date is reached.

IV

The Court of Appeals erred in holding that petitioner's claim on bank stock assessment was barred by the six months Statute of Limitations, although suit was filed on such claim less than six months from the final date fixed by [fols. 182-186] order of the Comptroller of the Currency of the United States for payment of said assessment.

V

The Court of Appeals erred in sustaining the Chancellor and in denying the petitioner recovery against the Estate of C. C. Nottingham.

[fol. 187] IN SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE

ANNIE R. NOTTINGHAM, EXRX.,

VS.

PAUL J. KENT, Receiver, et al.

Writs Denied.

ORDER DENYING PETITIONS FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI—November 29, 1941

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Chancery Court of Hamilton County, opinion and decree of the Court of Appeals, petitions for certiorari, assignments of error and briefs of counsel; and upon consideration thereof the Court is of opinion that the petitions for writs of certiorari are not well taken, and said petitions for writs of certiorari are denied.

The petitioner, J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of Chattanooga National Bank and Receiver of First National Bank of Chattanooga, and the sureties on the respective appeal bonds, Whitaker, Hall, Haynes and Allison, and Strang, Fletcher & Carriger, will pay the costs incident to filing petitions for writs of certiorari, for which let execution issue.

[fol. 188] IN COURT OF APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

[Title omitted]

ORDER STAYING PROCEEDINGS, ETC.—Filed January 21, 1942

Upon application of the petitioner, J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, and Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank, in the above-entitled cause, the stay of proceedings and enforcement of the decree of the Court in this cause heretofore made is further extended until March 1st, 1942, and the bonds of \$1,000.00 executed by the petitioner as Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, and as Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank will remain in force in accordance with the terms thereof until March 1st, 1942. The principal and surety appearing and so agreeing.

This January 21st, 1942.

(Signed) McAmis, Judge.

[fol. 189] IN COURT OF APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

[Title omitted]

ORDER STAYING PROCEEDINGS, ETC.—Filed March 5, 1942

The Supreme Court of the United States having granted the petition of J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of The First National Bank of Chattanooga, and Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank in the above-entitled cause for an extension of time within which to apply for a writ of certiorari to said Court;

It is accordingly Ordered that the stay of proceedings and enforcement of the decree of this Court in this cause heretofore made, is further extended until and including April 14, 1942, and the bonds of \$1,000.00 executed by the petitioner as Receiver of The First National Bank of Chattanooga, and as Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank will remain in force in accordance with the terms thereof until April 14, 1942.

This March 4, 1942.

McAmis, Judge.

Approved for Entry: S. Bartow Strang, Solicitor for J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of The First National Bank of Chattanooga. Whitaker, Hall, Haynes & Allison, Solicitors for J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the Chattanooga National Bank.

[fol. 190] IN COURT OF APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

[Title omitted]

ORDER AMENDING DECREE—Filed March 16, 1942

In this cause it appearing that the decree entered August 9, 1941, incorrectly taxed the costs of the appeals against defendant, J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of Chattanooga National Bank and sureties Whitaker, Hall, Haynes and Allison.

It is accordingly ordered that said decree entered August 9, 1941, be amended as follows:

The defendant J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of Chattanooga National Bank as Receiver and not individually, and sureties Whitaker, Hall, Haynes & Allison, will pay the costs of the appeal in this court in that case, and the defendant, J. Buckner Fisher, as Receiver, and not individually, and sureties Strang, Fletcher & Carriger, will pay the costs of the appeal in this Court in that cause, for which let executions issue.

(Signed) McAmis, Judge.

[fol. 191] Clerk's Certificate to foregoing transcript omitted in printing.

[fol. 192] SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORDER EXTENDING TIME WITHIN WHICH TO FILE PETITION
FOR CERTIORARI

Upon consideration of the application of counsel for the Petitioner,

It is ordered that the time within which to file petition for certiorari in the above-entitled cause be, and the same is hereby, extended to, and including, April 14, 1942.

Stanley Reed, Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States.

Dated this 26th day of February, 1942.

[fol. 193] SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

STIPULATION AS TO PRINTED RECORD—Filed March 31, 1942

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the solicitors for the respective parties, that the portions of the record in the case of Annie R. Nottingham, Executrix of the Estate of C. C. Nottingham, deceased vs. Paul J. Kent, Receiver, et al., in the Court of Appeals for the State of Tennessee, designated to be printed under the supervision

of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the United States for the purpose of this appeal, shall include the matters set forth below.

The whole record in said cause being on file in the office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the United States, it is agreed that it may be referred to there.

1. Original Bill	Page 1, et seq.
2. Answer and Cross Bill of Chas. S. Coffey, Receiver	" 6
3. Answer and Cross Bill of Geo. C. McKenzie, Receiver	" 12
4. Answer of Annie R. Nottingham, Executrix, to Cross Bill of Chas. S. Coffey, Receiver	" 28
5. Excerpts from Exhibit "A" to answer of Annie R. Nottingham, Executrix	" 33
6. Order of County Court of Hamilton County dated April 20, 1929, probating will of C. C. Nottingham, deceased	" 70
7. Demurrer to Claim of Chas. S. Coffey, Receiver	" 76
[fol. 194]	
8. Memo of Chancellor	Page 77
9. Answer of Geo. C. McKenzie to Petition of Coffey, Receiver	" 80
10. Order overruling demurrer of O. B. Wunschow	" 85
11. Order of Reference enrolled March 17, 1938	" 95
12. Motion of O. B. Wunschow filed September 1, 1938	" 96
13. Order thereon filed September 8, 1938	" 97
14. Order appointing Administrator ad litem filed May 7, 1940	" 99
15. Order reviving cause against J. Buckner Fisher, Rec.	" 111
16. Order admitting death of Annie R. Nottingham, etc.	" 111

17. Decree modifying Reference	Page 112
18. Report of R. B. Cooke, Special Master	" 114
19. Order on Exceptions	" 121
20. Order setting aside decree confirming Report	" 122
21. Exceptions of J. Buckner Fisher to Master's Report	" 124
22. Stipulation re J. Buckner Fisher succeeding Coffey, Receiver of First National Bank, etc.	" 127
23. Memo of Chancellor filed Nov. 20, 1940	" 128
24. Petition of Geo. C. McKenzie for rehearing	" 134
25. Decree confirming report of Special Master	" 135
26. Memo of Chancellor filed January 22, 1941	" 137
27. Decree on Petition to rehear	" 141
28. Appeal by J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver	" 143
29. Assignments of Error, Court of Appeals, by J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of First National Bank	" 168
30. Opinion of Court of Appeals	" 173
31. Decree of Court of Appeals	" 180
32. Assignments of Error, J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of First National Bank	" 181
33. Order denying writ of Supreme Court	" 187
34. Court of Appeals Stay	" 188
35. Court of Appeals Stay	" 189
36. Court of Appeals Decree amending as to costs	" 190
37. Certificate of Clerk of Court of Appeals	" 191
38. Order sustaining G. C. McKenzie's Cross Bill as a General Creditor's Bill	" 70

This 19th day of March, 1942.

S. Bartow Strang, Solicitor for J. Buckner Fisher,
Receiver of First National Bank of Chattanooga,
Tenn. J. Frassrand, Solicitor for Creditors.
Charles A. Noone, Solicitor for Louise Whiton and
C. C. Nottingham's Estate.

[fol. 195] SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORDER EXTENDING TIME WITHIN WHICH TO FILE PETITION
FOR CERTIORARI

Upon consideration of the application of counsel for the Petitioner,

It is ordered that the time within which to file petition for certiorari in the above-entitled cause be, and the same is hereby, extended to, and including, April 29, 1942.

Stanley Reed, Associate Justice of the Supreme Court
of the United States.

Dated this 8th day of April, 1942.

[fol. 196] SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORDER ALLOWING CERTIORARI—June 8, 1942

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the Court of Appeals
of the State of Tennessee

A petition for rehearing having been filed in this case,

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by this Court that the said petition be, and the same is hereby, granted.

And it is further ordered that the order denying certiorari be, and the same is hereby, vacated; and that the petition for writ of certiorari herein be, and the same is hereby, granted.

And it is further ordered that the duly certified copy of the transcript of the proceedings below which accompanied the petition shall be treated as though filed in response to such writ.

Stipulation and Addition to Record

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

**J. BUCKNER FISHER, Receiver of the First National Bank
of Chattanooga, Petitioner,**

VS. •

**LOUISE WHITON and ESTATE OF C. C. NOTTINGHAM, De-
ceased, et al., Defendants**

STIPULATION

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the solicitors for the respective parties that the petition for writ of certiorari upon behalf of J. Buckner Fisher, Receiver of the First National Bank of Chattanooga, Tennessee, was filed in the Supreme Court of Tennessee in due course and in accordance with the rules and requirements of the Supreme Court of Tennessee. It is further agreed that this stipulation may be added to the record in this Court and in this cause.

This April 27th, 1942.

**D. Burton Strang, Solicitor for J. Buckner Fisher,
Receiver of the First National Bank of Chat-
tanooga, Tennessee, J. D. Frossrand, Solicitors for
Creditors. Charles A. Noone, Solicitor for Louise
Whiton and C. C. Nottingham's Estate.**